Purpose
The Thesis Advisory Committee (TAC) guides the PhD student from initial registration through to preparations for the PhD defense. The committee meets at least once per year to evaluate the student’s progress and to provide support and advice on research and career planning. TAC members are available to the PhD student for individual consultation and may become a source of references for job applications. For composition of the TAC, check the guidelines in → EVEREST Supervision Agreement.pdf

TAC meetings (duration approx. 1.5 hrs.) always consist of (i) a brief introductory presentation by the student about the progress of his/her PhD (~15 min), (ii) extensive discussion of the prospects of the PhD project including suggestions for skill training, conference attendance, or manuscript preparation (~ 45 min), and private exchange among (iii) the student and TAC members excluding the direct PhD supervisor (5-10 min) and (iv) all TAC members excluding the student (5-10 min).

It is the student’s responsibility to
(i) schedule TAC meetings,
(ii) send TAC members a written overview about the state of the thesis 2 weeks in advance (see below),
(iii) organize room and technical equipment for the meeting,
(iv) submit the signed meeting protocol to the EVEREST coordinators directly after the meeting.

The First TAC Meeting (TAC 1)
The first TAC meeting must take place within the first six months after a student commences his/her PhD project. It serves to provide guidance for the student during the early phase of project planning and implementation. The student provides a written thesis proposal to all TAC members no later than two weeks before the meeting, briefly specifying the basic outline and goals of the project, including initial results where available. (→ guidelines “TAC 1: Pre-meeting Research Proposal”)

Discussion of the project explicitly focuses on the following topics:
• Project scope & expected scientific impact
• Potential risks and feasibility
• Evaluation of the student’s technical aptitude and performance
• Suggestions for scientific and transferrable skill training

One member of the TAC (NOT the prime thesis supervisor) is identified as the chair for the session and completes the → “TAC 1 Assessment Form”. The form is signed by all meeting participants and submitted to the EVEREST coordinator by the PhD candidate.

Subsequent TAC Meetings (TAC 2 & 3)
The second TAC primarily evaluates the PhD student’s progress and assists in prioritizing and modifying research projects and accompanying skill training as needed. Two weeks before the meeting, the student distributes a progress report among TAC members (→ guidelines “TAC 2: Pre-meeting Research Update”). One member of the TAC (NOT the prime thesis supervisor) completes the → “TAC 2 Assessment Form”. The form is signed by all meeting participants and submitted to the EVEREST coordinator by the PhD candidate.

The goal of the third (or any further) TAC meeting is similar to TAC 2, now with additional focus on (i) evaluating the PhD student’s progress with regard to project completion and thesis submission and (ii) discussing job perspectives after graduation.
TAC 1: PRE-MEETING RESEARCH PROPOSAL

Two weeks before your first meeting with the Thesis Advisory Committee (TAC 1), please send an informal and concise proposal of your PhD project to all TAC members and the EVEREST coordinators as detailed below.

The total proposal should **not exceed 3-5 pages**! It is **not** mandatory to address every single aspect mentioned below – select those that are particularly relevant for the development of your specific project.

1. **Header**
   - Name, PhD start date, direct PhD supervisor, preliminary project title.
   - Date and location of the scheduled TAC meeting

2. **Abstract (~ ¼ page)**
   Brief project summary, includes major research questions and proposed sampling / experimental / analytical strategy.

3. **Introduction (~ ½ to 1 page)**
   - What is the relevant research context for your project?
   - What is the current state-of-the art (key references)?
   - Identify the gaps of knowledge that are relevant to your project.

4. **Aims and significance (~ ½ page)**
   - Which specific questions or research hypotheses do you want to address in your PhD project?
   - Are the questions independent or interconnected?
   - How will the answers to your questions help advancing the knowledge in your field?

5. **Research plan (~ 1 page)**
   - What sampling / experimental / analytical approaches are you proposing to address your research questions?
   - Are there equivalent alternative approaches to (some of) your questions?
   - What are the advantages, challenges, and drawbacks of each experimental approach?
   - Include the findings of pilot studies if applicable.

6. **Outlook (~ ½ page)**
   - Provide a rough research plan that schedules your research project across the intended 3 year PhD phase.
   - Which specific steps do you wish to accomplish in the coming year (a table may be useful)?
   - Which additional skills, qualifications, or collaborations do you require to thrive in your research field (e.g. proposed courses, workshops etc.)? Also consider required funding opportunities.
TAC ≥ 2: PRE-MEETING RESEARCH UPDATE

Two weeks before any of the subsequent meetings with your Thesis Advisory Committee (TAC 2 ff.), provide an informal and concise update on the progress of your PhD project to all TAC members and the EVEREST coordinators.

The total update should not exceed 3-5 pages! It is not mandatory to address every single aspect mentioned below – select those that are particularly relevant for the development of your project.

1. Basic Information (~ ¼ page)
   - Name, PhD start date, direct PhD supervisor, project title.
   - Date and location of the scheduled TAC meeting

2. Introduction (~ ½ page)
   - What is the relevant research context for your project?
   - What is the current state-of-the art (key references)?
   - Which gaps of knowledge relevant to your project can you identify?
   - Which specific research questions / hypotheses did you plan to address in your PhD project?

3. Project progress (~ 1 page)
   - List 3 particularly positive aspects of your work, e.g. with good progress or scientific reward.
   - For each of your central research hypotheses, specify the current state of the project:
     - Has data collection (and analysis) been completed as planned, with what kind of findings?
     - Is research still in progress, and proceeds as envisioned?
     - If not yet commenced, when do you plan to pursue this specific research goal?

4. Changes and challenges (~ 1 page)
   - List 3 particularly problematic aspects of your work, e.g. with substantial frustration.
   - For which project components did you already diverge from your research proposal, and why?
   - Which project components turn out to be unfeasible within the frame of the PhD project?
   - Which alternatives can you think of?
   - On which aspects do you seek external advice?

5. Credits, skills & qualification (~ ½ page)
   - What is your status with respect to the credits required for EVEREST (PhD retreat, DOSE, StEVe-meeting, scientific and transferable skills)?
   - Which additional skills, qualifications, or collaborations do you require to thrive in your research field (e.g. proposed courses, workshops etc.)? Also address issues regarding required funding.

6. Outlook and career planning (~ ½ page)
   - Which steps do you wish to accomplish in the coming year (a table may be useful)?
   - Which thesis chapters do you plan to publish, in which journal, under which authorship?
   - Can you complete your PhD within the running funding? If not, what are your options for funding?
   - Where do you see yourself after your PhD? What qualifications do you need for your desired job?
TAC 1 – ASSESSMENT FORM

TAC 1 – Date: __________________________ Protocol by (NOT the direct supervisor): ____________________

Student name: __________________________ Start of PhD: __________________

Project title: __________________________________________________________________________________

ASSESSMENT

Project scope:

Expected impact:

Potential risks and feasibility:

Evaluation of the student’s technical aptitude & performance:

Suggested skill training:

Further comments:

______________________________________________________________________________________________

TAC meeting components: □ student presentation □ discussion □ 5’ w/o supervisor □ 5’ w/o student

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC member 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC member 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TAC member 3]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Agreed date for the next TAC: __________________________
### TAC≥ 2 – ASSESSMENT FORM

- **TAC 2**
- **TAC 3**
- **TAC 4**
- **Date:** ___________________

**Student name:** __________________________  **Protocol by (NOT the direct supervisor):** __________________________

**Project title:** __________________________________________________________________

#### ASSESSMENT

**Project progress:**

Suggested changes in project priorities:

Goals for the coming year:

Suggested skill training / conferences:

Career planning:

Further comments:

---

**TAC meeting components:**

- [ ] student presentation
- [ ] discussion
- [ ] 5’ w/o supervisor
- [ ] 5’ w/o student

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Signature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Student</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC member 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TAC member 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[TAC member 3]</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Agreed date for the next TAC:** __________________________