Fractionation of Fe isotopes during Fe(II) oxidation by a marine photoferrotroph is controlled by the formation of organic Fe-complexes and colloidal Fe fractions
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Abstract

Much interest exists in finding mineralogical, organic, morphological, or isotopic biosignatures for Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria (FeOB) that are retained in Fe-rich sediments, which could indicate the activity of these organisms in Fe-rich seawater, more common in the Precambrian Era. To date, the effort to establish a clear Fe isotopic signature in Fe minerals produced by Fe(II)-oxidizing metabolisms has been thwarted by the large kinetic fractionation incurred as freshly oxidized aqueous Fe(III) rapidly precipitates as Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide minerals at near neutral pH. The Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide minerals resulting from abiotic Fe(II) oxidation are isotopically heavy compared to the Fe(II) precursor and are not clearly distinguishable from minerals formed by FeOB isotopically. However, in marine hydrothermal systems and Fe(II)-rich springs the minerals formed are often isotopically lighter than expected considering the fraction of Fe(II) that has been oxidized and experimentally-determined fractionation factors. We measured the Fe isotopic composition of aqueous Fe ($\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}$) and the final Fe mineral ($\text{Fe}_{\text{ppt}}$) produced in batch experiment using the marine Fe(II)-oxidizing phototroph \textit{Rhodovulum iodosum}. The $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}$ data are best described by a kinetic fractionation model, while the evolution of $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{ppt}}$ appears to be controlled by a separate fractionation process. We propose that soluble Fe(III), and Fe(II) and Fe(III) extracted from the Fe ppt may act as intermediates between Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) precipitation. Based on $^{57}\text{Fe}$ Mössbauer spectroscopy, extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy, and X-ray total scattering, we suggests these Fe phases, collectively Fe(II/III)$_{\text{interm}}$, may consist of organic-ligand bound, sorbed, and/or colloidal Fe(II) and Fe(III) mineral phases that are isotopically lighter than the final Fe(III) mineral product. Similar intermediate phases, formed in response to organic carbon produced by FeOB and inorganic ligands (e.g., SiO$_4^{4-}$ or PO$_4^{3-}$), may form in many natural Fe(II)-oxidizing environments. We propose that the formation of these intermediates is likely to occur in organic-rich systems, and thus may have controlled the ultimate isotopic composition of Fe minerals in systems where Fe(II) was being oxidized by or in the presence of microbes in Earth’s past.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Phototrophic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria (photoferrotrops) are able to oxidize Fe(II) in anoxic, sunlit environments. For this reason they are of interest for their potential role in oxidizing Fe(II) in the photic zones of the largely anoxic Archean oceans (e.g., Kappler et al., 2001; Crowe et al., 2008). Iron formations (IF) are Fe-rich chemical sediments that were deposited from Archean seawater and contain mixed-valence Fe minerals. Their overall Fe oxidation state is thought to reflect oxidation and deposition of primary Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide phases during deposition (Beukes and Klein, 1992), and subsequent (partial) reduction of these phases during diagenesis by biological or thermogenic Fe(III) reduction with organic carbon (Konhauser et al., 2005; Johnson et al., 2008; Posth et al., 2013a).

While photoferrotrops might have been involved in Fe(II) oxidation in Archean seawater, there are other possibilities for how Fe(II) might have been oxidized, including photochemical oxidation with UV light, oxidation by microaerophilic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria (mFeOB), or direct oxidation by oxygen produced by cyanobacteria (for a recent review see Posth et al., 2013b). However, because abiotic photochemical oxidation is thought to be a very minor Fe(II) oxidation pathway in Si-rich Archean oceans (Konhauser et al., 2007a), much emphasis has been placed on the above-mentioned biological pathways. Of the three types of organisms implicated, only microaerophilic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria leave distinct morphological indicators (“biosignatures”) of their presence and activity in ancient settings: organic stalks, often twisted, that are coated with Fe(III) minerals (Chan et al., 2011; Krepski et al., 2013). Yet these biosignatures do not appear in the IF record until the late Paleoproterozoic (Cloud, 1965; Planavsky et al., 2009; Wilson et al., 2010), suggesting that microaerophilic FeOB were not involved in Archean Fe(II) oxidation.

As photoferrotrops do not generate distinctive morphological biosignatures, other traces of their activity should hold more promise for distinguishing their presence and activity in forming IF. Remnants of biological organic molecules (“biomarkers”) from these organisms are distinct, and may even be used to distinguish whether photoferrotrops grew using Fe(II) as an electron donor vs. other reduced compounds (H2, organics) that are also capable of supporting their growth (Eickhoff et al., 2013). Yet, to date, organic biomarkers have not been successfully isolated out of Fe-rich rocks such as IF. Therefore, other indicators of their activity are needed to distinguish their potential contribution to Archean Fe(II) oxidation and IF deposition.

Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) precipitation both cause distinct Fe isotopic fractionations, such that the residual Fe(II) in the aqueous phase (Fe(II)aq) has a different isotopic composition from the oxidized, aqueous Fe(III) (Fe(III)aq), as well as the Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide minerals that rapidly precipitate from Fe(III)aq at circumneutral pH (Fe(III)ppt). This difference between the δ56Fe/δ56Fe ratios of Fe(III)aq to Fe(II)aq (δFe(III)aq−Fe(II)aq) describes the isotopic fractionation of the Fe(II) oxidation process. Because biological enzymes are known to prefer lighter isotopes as substrates, it was initially anticipated that direct, biologically-catalyzed Fe-redox reactions may impart a fractionation between the substrate and product (Beard et al., 1999). In experiments and natural samples of abiotic and biological Fe(II) oxidation at neutral pH, Fe(III)ppr, i.e., the product of Fe(II) oxidation, is actually isotopically heavier than Fe(II)aq by about 1–3‰ (Bullen et al., 2001; Balci et al., 2006; Croal et al., 2009; Kappler et al., 2010), due to kinetic effects during rapid precipitation and the formation of stronger bonds in precipitates relative to aqueous phases. If precipitation is slow, equilibrium isotope exchange between Fe(II)aq and Fe(III)aq may be attained, increasing the magnitude of fractionations between Fe(II)aq and Fe(III)ppr (Johnson et al., 2002; Anbar et al., 2005; Balci et al., 2006), but this is not likely significant during rapid precipitation at circumneutral pH. These results imply that Fe isotopic composition of minerals precipitated from biological Fe(II) oxidation at circumneutral pH may not be distinguishable from those precipitated abiotically (Balci et al., 2006). A further consideration is that under conditions relevant for ancient oceans, i.e., enhanced Si concentrations, there is isotope exchange between Fe(II)aq and Fe(III)ppr that may overprint the isotopic fractionation associated with Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) precipitation (Wu et al., 2012).

Previous work has addressed the role of inorganic ligands (Cl−, SO42−, CO32−) in determining the reactivity of different Fe(II)aq species during Fe(II) oxidation (Bullen et al., 2001; Welch et al., 2003; Balci et al., 2006). Equilibrium isotopic exchange between different Fe(II)aq and Fe(III)aq species generally imparts a heavier Fe isotopic composition to the Fe(III)aq phase, but can be influenced by the nature of the dominant ligand (Bullen et al., 2001; Johnson et al., 2002; Balci et al., 2006). The only study to investigate Fe isotopic fractionation by a photoferrotrorp utilized a freshwater strain (Croal et al., 2004), yet inorganic ligands such as Cl−, SO42−, SiO42−, and PO43− are present in both modern and ancient seawater, albeit in variable amounts (Kempe and Degens, 1985; Konhauser et al., 2007b; Canfield and Farquhar, 2009), and should be considered when addressing the role biological Fe(II) oxidation to the isotopic composition of IF.

Furthermore, the role of organic Fe-ligands has only been mentioned in passing in literature that has specifically addressed biological Fe(II) oxidation (Croal et al., 2004; Balci et al., 2006). Yet organics play a critical role in mediating the precipitation of poorly soluble Fe(III) away from the cell surfaces in organisms that oxidize Fe(II) at circumneutral pH (Chan et al., 2004, 2009; Schaadler et al., 2009), and potentially control the isotopic fractionation between pools of Fe(II)aq and particulate Fe(III) phases in marine environments (John et al., 2012; Staubwasser et al., 2013). Spatial separation between the site of oxidation near the cell surface (or within the periplasm) and the site of

\[^{2}\text{The} \delta_{56}^{Fe(II)aq} \text{Fe(II)aq can be converted to an } \epsilon \text{ value, in per mille} \left(\epsilon_{\text{Fe}}\right), \text{ using the equation } \epsilon_{\text{Fe}} = \delta_{56}^{Fe(II)aq} \text{Fe(II)aq} - 1 \times 1000.\]
Fe(III) mineral precipitation is critical, because cells that are coated in Fe(III) minerals are not viable if nutrients can no longer diffuse to and from the cell surface (Schmid et al., 2014). While knowledge of the way that microaerophilic FeOB template Fe(III) mineral precipitation along their organic stalks has been unfolding for the last decade (Chan et al., 2004; 2009; Saini and Chan, 2012), it is not entirely clear how phototrophic FeOB prevent encrustation (Schaedler et al., 2009). Previous authors have invoked the presence of a low-pH gradient around the cell that allows Fe(III)aq to diffuse away before precipitating as the pH rises (Hegler et al., 2010). Templating Fe(III) mineral precipitation to extracellular fibers composed of lipopolysaccharides was also suggested as a strategy (Miot et al., 2009), as well as the production of Fe(III)-complexing organic ligands (Kappler and Newman, 2004). Recently, the association of a ligand-bound phase of Fe(III) with excreted exopolymERIC substances (EPS) was documented at the site of extra-

2. MATERIALS, METHODS AND MEASUREMENTS

2.1. Bacterial strain and medium

The marine photoferrotrroph \textit{R. iodosum} was obtained from Deutsche Sammlung von Mikroorganismen und Zellkulturen (DSMZ), Germany (DSM 12328T). It was isolated from a mud flat of the Jadebusen (North Sea) (Straub et al., 1999). Detailed physiological investigations of this strain have been previously published (Straub et al., 1999; Wu et al., 2014). Marine phototroph (MP) medium was used for cultivation of \textit{R. iodosum}, and Fe(II) was added as previously described (Wu et al., 2014). Here, the medium was filtered anoxically a second time after incubating at 4 °C to ensure all Fe(II) carbonate and phosphate precipitates were removed, as abiotic precipitation of and sorption to Fe(II) in these phases could result in Fe isotope fractionation, and overprint the fractionation of Fe(II) oxidation.

In a glovebox, 80 mL of the Fe(II)-containing MP medium was dispensed into 200 mL capacity serum bottles, and the headspace was flushed with N₂/CO₂ (v/v, 90/10) for 3 min before inoculation. The Fe(II)-containing medium was inoculated from the final incubation of a set of cultures grown first with H₂ as an electron donor, then Fe(II), then H₂. This strategy ensured that the inoculum was adapted to autotrophic growth with Fe(II) as the electron donor, but avoided the introduction of Fe(II)/Fe(III) minerals to the experiments that could contaminate the isotopic measurements. One percent of the culture was inoculated into each experiment, to a final concentration of about 1.5 x 10⁷ cells mL⁻¹ (Wu et al., 2014). In each experiment, three parallel bottles (biological replicates) were used for Fe(II) oxidation and isotope fractionation examination, and each had an initial Fe(II) concentration of ca. 4 mM. One abiotic control per experiment was prepared the same but without inoculation. The bottles were incubated at 26 °C and 600 lux (12 μmol quanta m⁻² s⁻¹) under a 40-W tungsten incandescent light. The light intensity was determined by a Digital Lux Meter (Roline RO1332). The bottles were shaken once by hand every 12 h to avoid attachment of precipitates to the bottle walls.

2.2. Fe species separation, Fe(II) and total Fe concentration determination

During Fe(II) oxidation by \textit{R. iodosum}, Fe was present as several different aqueous or solid species: aqueous Fe(II) or Fe(III) (Fe(II)aq or Fe(III)aq), precipitated Fe(II) or Fe(III) minerals (Fe(II)ppt or Fe(III)ppt), or as Fe(II) or Fe(III) adsorbed to the minerals, associated with other solid or organic phases (e.g., cell surfaces), or in colloidal forms in the experiment (collectively Fe(II/III)interm). To determine the Fe isotopic fractionation between these phases during Fe(II) oxidation vs. precipitation or sorption, we analyzed the Fe isotopic composition of total aqueous phase (Feaq: Fe(II)aq + Fe(III)aq), and the total precipitate phase (Feppt: Fe(II)ppt + Fe(III)ppt) including cells) at approximately 0%, 20%, 40%, 60%, 80% and 100% Fe(II) oxidized from several different experiments with three biological replicates each. During each experiment, samples were removed with a 2 mL syringe inside of an anoxic glovebox (100% N₂). One mL of this anoxically sampled culture was immediately centrifuged inside the glovebox (16,000g, 10 min), and the supernatant, containing Fe(II)aq and Fe(III)aq, was filtered through a 0.22 μm nylon centrifuge tube filter (Cosar spin-X, Corning, International) and acidified with anoxic 1 M HCl (Fig. 1). The pellet was then washed with anoxic MilliQ water, centrifuged as above, and re-suspended in anoxic 0.5 M sodium acetate (NaAc, pH adjusted to 4.85 with acetic acid), followed by a 24 h incubation in the glove box and centrifugation to separate Fe(II)interm and Fe(III)interm, now in the aqueous phase, from the Fe(II)ppt or Fe(III)ppt, which remained in the pellet. The Fe concentration and speciation was then measured in these extracted fractions (see below). Finally, the pellet was dissolved in anoxic 6 M HCl to avoid
Fe(II) oxidation by O$_2$ at high Cl$^-$ concentrations under oxic conditions (Porsch and Kappler, 2011). The MilliQ water and NaAc washes were acidified with anoxic 1 M HCl.

We conducted three separate experiments, each with three biological replicates (Table 2). In the first two experiments, Ri-1 and Ri-2, indicating R. irodosum experiment 1 or 2, the aqueous fraction was not separated from the precipitate by filtration, but rather separated simply with centrifugation (16,000 g, 10 min) (Table 1). Additionally, we did not wash the pellet of samples from Ri-1 with NaAc, but did so with all subsequent experiments. The final experiment, Ri-3 was filtered and the pellet washed with H$_2$O and NaAc, and so these results are discussed in the most detail. Clear differences were observed in the Fe isotope composition of the aqueous and precipitate phases in each of the experiments that resulted from the separation protocol, and therefore, we present the results of all experiments to augment the discussion of the role of different Fe phases on the Fe isotope fractionation patterns observed.

The concentration of Fe(II) for all fractions was determined using the Ferrozine assay directly on aqueous or dissolved samples (Stookey, 1970). Total Fe was measured on samples using Ferrozine following treatment with the reducing reagent hydroxylamine hydrochloride (10% wt/v, prepared in 1 M HCl). The Fe(III) concentration was calculated as the difference between the Fe(II) and total Fe of each fraction. Absorption measurements were made using a microtiter plate reader (Analytik Jena Flash Scan 550) at 562 nm. The method has a detection limit of ca. 5–10 µM (0.28–0.56 mg L$^{-1}$).

2.3. Fe isotope analysis

Iron isotope measurements were made on the Fe$_{aq}$ and Fe$_{ppt}$ fractions (Fig. 1). The concentrations of Fe in the water and NaAc washes were generally very low (<2 µg). Due to limitations in the number of samples that could be processed, the $\delta^{56}$Fe of the Fe(II/III)$_{interm}$ phases were not measured.

Fe purification work was performed in positively pressured isotope geochemistry clean laboratories at the University of Tuebingen, with class 10,000 HEPA filtered ambient air and class 100 HEPA filtered fume hoods. HNO$_3$ and HCl used during the digestion of the samples, purification of Fe, or serving as solution matrix for ICP-MS measurements were distilled from p.a. grade acids using individual Savillex® DST 1000 stills. Acid blank levels are constantly checked on each batch of distilled acid before concentration titration and release to laboratory use. All Teflon laboratory ware was carefully cleaned before use by scrubbing with soft sponges and clean laboratory detergent and sequential leaching in hot 3 mol L$^{-1}$ HCl, 5 mol L$^{-1}$ HNO$_3$, and 18.2 MΩ cm water for 3 days, respectively. Procedural blank levels during sample preparation and chemical purification of Fe were constantly determined together with processed samples. For Fe purification, sample aliquots containing 5 µg of Fe were transferred to PFA Teflon beakers with 1 drop of concentrated HNO$_3$ to oxidize Fe(II), then dried overnight at 95 °C. The residues were dissolved in 1 mL of 6 mol L$^{-1}$ HCl to ensure conversion of the samples into Fe–Cl complexes and oxidation of all Fe(II) to Fe(III). The samples were purified in Spectrum® 104704 polypropylene columns filled with 1 mL Dowex AG-1x8 100–200 mesh resin. Fe purification followed the anion exchange separation protocol given in Schoenberg and von Blankenburg (2005). After purification, the samples from Ri-2 and Ri-3 were dried at 100 °C and repeatedly treated with 100 µL hydrogen peroxide (>30% H$_2$O$_2$) to remove residual organics from the resin or the samples themselves (Table 1). The residues were then taken up in 500 µL of 14.5 mol L$^{-1}$ HNO$_3$ and dried down again to ensure conversion to nitrate form. The samples were finally taken up in 2.5 mL of 0.3 mol L$^{-1}$ HNO$_3$ and ready for Fe isotope analysis.

Fe isotope analyses were performed in the isotope geochemistry facilities at the University of Tuebingen using a ThermoFisher Scientific NeptunePlus Multi-collector inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometer (MC-ICP-MS). Polyatomic interferences, such as $^{40}$Ar$^{14}$N$^+$ on $^{54}$Fe$^+$ or
Table 2
Iron isotope data from experiments with *R. iodosum*. Each sample was measured twice. Parameters for the Rayleigh fit of $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}$ or $\delta^{56}\text{Feppt}$ from each replicate are reported, as well as the equilibrium fit for all replicates from each experiment. Samples from *Ri*-3 were measured twice, once with standard-sample bracketing (SSB) and once with a double spike (DS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Replicate</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Fraction of Fe(II) oxidized</th>
<th>$\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}$ ($^\circ\text{mol}$) 2SD</th>
<th>$\delta^{56}\text{Feppt}$ ($^\circ\text{mol}$) 2SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Ri</em>-1 (SSB)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$-0.056$</td>
<td>0.200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>$0.035$</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>$0.014$</td>
<td>0.009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>$-0.252$</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>$-1.490$</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>$-0.074$</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>$0.048$</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>$0.046$</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>$-0.035$</td>
<td>0.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>$-1.093$</td>
<td>0.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$e_{\text{Fe(II/III)interm–Fe(II)aq}} = 0.682_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 0.129$; $e_{\text{Fe(III)ppt–Fe(III)aq}} = 1.344_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 0.758$

| *Ri*-1 (SSB) | 3 | 0 | 0.00 | $0.007$ | 0.053 | NM | NM |
| | 3 | 12 | 0.12 | $0.028$ | 0.075 | NM | NM |
| | 3 | 17 | 0.41 | $-0.458$ | 0.053 | $1.325$ | 0.071 |
| | 3 | 25 | 1.00 | NM | NM | $-0.002$ | 0.127 |

$e_{\text{Fe(II/III)interm–Fe(II)aq}} = 0.550_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 0.136$; $e_{\text{Fe(III)ppt–Fe(III)aq}} = 1.177_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 1.574$

| *Ri*-2 (SSB) | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | $-0.025$ | 0.056 | NM | NM |
| | 1 | 0.10 | $-0.074$ | 0.059 | NM | NM |
| | 1 | 12 | 0.28 | $-0.304$ | 0.056 | $2.053$ | 0.061 |
| | 1 | 13 | 0.44 | $-0.943$ | 0.053 | $1.325$ | 0.071 |
| | 1 | 17 | 0.97 | NM | NM | $0.079$ | 0.064 |

$e_{\text{Fe(II/III)interm–Fe(II)aq}} = 0.682_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 0.136$; $e_{\text{Fe(III)ppt–Fe(III)aq}} = 1.344_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 0.758$

| *Ri*-2 (SSB) | 2 | 0 | 0 | $-0.001$ | 0.064 | NM | NM |
| | 2 | 5 | 0.04 | $-0.018$ | 0.062 | NM | NM |
| | 2 | 10 | 0.35 | $-0.657$ | 0.066 | $1.563$ | 0.057 |
| | 2 | 12 | 0.96 | $-2.547$ | 0.071 | $0.125$ | 0.068 |
| | 2 | 17 | 0.96 | NM | NM | $-0.042$ | 0.071 |

$e_{\text{Fe(II/III)interm–Fe(II)aq}} = 0.550_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 0.136$; $e_{\text{Fe(III)ppt–Fe(III)aq}} = 1.177_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 1.574$

| *Ri*-3 (SSB) | 1 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.064 | 0.050 | NM | NM |
| | 1 | 3 | 0.14 | 0.184 | 0.050 | NM | NM |
| | 1 | 7 | 0.19 | 0.213 | 0.050 | NM | NM |
| | 1 | 9 | 0.22 | $-0.046$ | 0.050 | $2.142$ | 0.050 |
| | 1 | 10 | 0.34 | $-0.165$ | 0.050 | NM | NM |
| | 1 | 11 | 0.44 | $-0.808$ | 0.050 | $1.323$ | 0.050 |
| | 1 | 13 | 1.00 | NM | NM | $0.120$ | 0.050 |

$e_{\text{Fe(II/III)interm–Fe(II)aq}} = 0.459_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 0.165$; $e_{\text{Fe(III)ppt–Fe(III)aq}} = 2.218_{\text{SSB}}$, $\chi^2 = 0.165$

| *Ri*-3 (SSB) | 2 | 0 | 0.00 | $-0.997$ | 0.034 | $1.051$ | 0.037 |
| | 2 | 12 | 0.58 | $-0.997$ | 0.034 | $1.051$ | 0.037 |

Equilibrium Fits *Ri*-2 (SSB): $\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}} = -2.21X - 0.003$, $\sum \chi^2 = 0.733$; $\delta^{56}\text{Feppt} = -2.49X + 2.49$, $\sum \chi^2 = 0.388$

(continued on next page)
Table 2 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Replicate</th>
<th>Day</th>
<th>Fraction of Fe(II) oxidized</th>
<th>$\delta^{56}$Fe$<em>{eq}$ ($%</em>{o}$)</th>
<th>2SD</th>
<th>$\delta^{56}$Fe$<em>{ppt}$ ($%</em>{o}$)</th>
<th>2SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fe(II)/Fe(III)–Fe(II)aq</td>
<td>1.219$%<em>{o}$ $\Sigma$ chi$^2 = 0.095$; Fe(II)pppt–Fe(III)aq = 2.012$%</em>{o}$ $\Sigma$ chi$^2 = 0.141$</td>
<td>$\delta^{56}$Fe$<em>{eq}$ = 2.21X + 0.06, $\Sigma$ chi$^2 = 1.174$; $\delta^{56}$Fe$</em>{ppt}$ = 2.47X + 2.53, $\Sigma$ chi$^2 = 0.290$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RI-3 (DS)</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.103</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.079</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>1.991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.284</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>1.749</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.669</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>1.206</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.242</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>0.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fe(II)/Fe(III)–Fe(II)aq</strong> (3.494$%<em>{o}$ $\Sigma$ chi$^2 = 0.134$; Fe(II)pppt–Fe(III)aq = 1.975$%</em>{o}$ $\Sigma$ chi$^2 = 0.151$)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td></td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>NM</td>
<td>1.982</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.977</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>1.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.494</td>
<td>0.030</td>
<td>NM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Fe(II)/Fe(III)–Fe(II)aq</strong> = ND; Fe(II)pppt–Fe(III)aq = ND</td>
<td>$\delta^{56}$Fe$<em>{eq}$ = 2.55X + 0.06, $\Sigma$ chi$^2 = 1.668$; $\delta^{56}$Fe$</em>{ppt}$ = 2.42X + 2.48, $\Sigma$ chi$^2 = 0.365$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NM = sample not measured. ND = not determined because there were too few data points for fitting.

$^{40}$Ar$^{16}$O$^+$ on $^{56}$Fe$^+$ were resolved using the high mass-resolution mode of the mass spectrometer and instrumental mass bias was accounted for by using the standard-sample-bracketing (SSB) method. Run conditions during SSB measurements followed the protocol given in Schoenberg and von Blankenburg (2005). To verify the lack of any effects on the sample’s true Fe isotopic compositions during chemical purification and mass spectrometric analyses, one experiment (RI-3) was additionally measured with a double spike (DS) method. For this, an adequate amount of an isotopically enriched tracer solution (i.e., an $^{57}$Fe–$^{56}$Fe double spike) was homogenized with all samples and standards prior to chemical Fe purification via anion exchange chromatography. The Fe double spike allows correction of any mass-dependent isotopic fractionation during Fe purification, as may be induced by the organic sample matrices affecting the purification yield, but it also accounts for the instrumental mass bias or any mass-dependent effects on it by residual organic compounds in the Fe analyte (Schoenberg and Von Blankenburg, 2005). Both these effects by organic compounds would remain undetected by the SSB measuring method, potentially compromising the results. As for SSB measurements, all four Fe isotope beams were detected simultaneously during DS runs, which consisted of 90 integration cycles at 8 s. Background corrections for sample signals were based on on-peak-zero measurements on the pure analyte solution prior to each sample analysis. Data are reported in the $\delta$-notation with units of per mille ($\%_{o}$) relative to the isotopically certified international reference material IRMM-014 (Institute for Reference Materials and Measurements in Gent, Belgium):

$\delta^{56}$Fe = ($^{56}$Fe/$^{54}$Fe$_{sample}$/$^{56}$Fe/$^{54}$Fe$_{IRMM-014}$ – 1) × 1000

The reproducibility of HanFe standard measurements that were run with the SSB method during the course of this study was 0.296 ± 0.039$\%_{o}$ (2SD; n = 13), which compares well to the long-term reproducibility of 0.287 ± 0.055$\%_{o}$ (2SD; n = 145) for this standard. The reproducibility of the IRMM-014 and HanFe standard measurements that were run with the double-spike method during the course of this study were $0.007 ± 0.018\%_{o}$ (2SD; n = 8) and $0.279 ± 0.030\%_{o}$ (2SD; n = 5), respectively.

The $\delta^{56}$Fe values of Fe$_{eq}$ and Fe$_{ppt}$ at different fractions (f) of Fe(II) remaining from individual biological replicates were fit with a Rayleigh equation:

$\delta^{56}$Fe$_{eq} = (\delta^{56}$Fe$_{eq,0} + 100) \times f^{z-1} - 1000$ (1)

$\delta^{56}$Fe$_{ppt} = (\delta^{56}$Fe$_{ppt,0} + 1000) \times [(1-f^{z})/(1-f)] - 1000$ (2)

The z values were determined by minimizing the sum of chi$^2$ values using the Solver tool in Microsoft Excel, where the sum of chi$^2$ values was determined by comparing the fit to either the $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{eq}$ or the $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{ppt}$ dataset. The z values were converted to per mille units via the following equation:

$\varepsilon = (z - 1) \times 1000$ (3)

Data from all three replicates of each experiment were fit with a linear equation optimized in Solver by minimizing the sum of chi$^2$ values to determine the equilibrium equation. The intercept of the $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{eq}$ linear fit was fixed as the initial measured $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{eq}$, and the $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{ppt}$ fit had to reach the initial $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{eq}$ when all Fe had been oxidized.
Because the Fe isotopic composition of intermediate Fe phases (Fe(II/III)$_{\text{intern}}$) present in the water and NaAc washes was not measured, we used a mass balance approach to calculate it:

\[
f_{\text{intern}} \cdot \delta^{56}\text{Fe(II/III)}_{\text{intern}}(t) = 1 \cdot \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}(\text{initial}) - f_{\text{aq}} \cdot \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}(t) - f_{\text{ppt}} \cdot \delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{ppt}}(t)
\]

(4)

In the above expressions, \(f\) represents the fraction of total Fe in the experiment that is in each phase, designated by the subscript. The initial \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}\) was determined from the first sample, taken at the beginning of each experiment. At each time point where both data \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{aq}}\) and \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe}_{\text{ppt}}\) were measured from the first replicate of the Ri-3 DS dataset (Fig. 1, Table 2), this equation was used to solve for \(\delta^{56}\text{Fe(II/III)}_{\text{intern}}\).

2.4. Mineralogy

2.4.1. Mössbauer spectroscopy

Solids produced when about 40% of Fe(II) had been oxidized by \(R. iodosum\) were collected, washed with anoxic MilliQ water and air-dried in an anoxic glovebox (100% N$_2$). Samples were prepared by loading dry powders into Plexiglas™ holders (area 1 cm$^2$). The mass of each sample (approximately 10 mg) was mixed with 80 mg glucose monohydrate (Roth, Germany) to ensure a homogenous sample with ideal thickness. The samples were inserted into a close-cycle exchange gas cryostat (Janis cryogenics) to ensure a homogenous sample with ideal thickness. The samples were mixed with 80 mg glucose monohydrate (Roth, Germany) to ensure a homogenous sample with ideal thickness. The samples were inserted into a close-cycle exchange gas cryostat (Janis cryogenics). Spectra were collected at 295, 77 and 5 K using a constant acceleration drive system (WissEL) in transmission mode.


twas measured on a Thermo Scientific Element2 ICP-MS at the European Institute for Marine Studies, France. Element concentrations were determined on solids after cultures reached stationary phase.

3. RESULTS

3.1. Fe speciation during Fe(II) oxidation

The amount of Fe(II), total Fe and Fe(III) in each of the separate fractions [aq, intern (H$_2$O and NaAc), and ppt] are plotted for the second replicate from the experiment Ri-3 (SSB/DS) in Fig. 2, the experiment for which Fe

2.4.3. Synchrotron-based X-ray total scattering

High energy synchrotron X-ray scattering experiments were performed on the solid products of Fe(II) oxidation by \(R. iodosum\) at the Advanced Photon Source at Argonne National Laboratory, Beamline 11-ID-B. The solids were collected from a culture grown with ca. 4 mM Fe(II), washed five times with Millipore water to remove excess salt, and analyzed in air in a hydrated state while held in a 1.5 mm O.D. Kapton™ capillary. The incident X-ray energy was fixed at 58.3 keV ($\lambda = 0.2128$ Å) and the scattered radiation from the sample suspension and water blank was collected in transmission mode using a Perkin Elmer™ amorphous silicon area detector (2048 × 2048 pixels, 200 × 200 μm$^2$ pixel size). A CeO$_2$ standard (NIST diffraction standard set 674a) was used to calibrate the sample-to-detector distance and the non-orthogonality of the detector relative to the incident beam path. The raw scattering data was processed into spectra using the Fit2D software (Hammersley, 1998). A polarization correction was applied during integration of the data. The total structure function \(S(Q)\), reduced structure function \(Q[S(Q) - 1]\), and the reduced atomic pair distribution function \(PDF, G(r)\) were extracted from the experimental data using PDFgetX2 (Qiu et al., 2004).

2.4.4. Particle analysis

Culture suspensions of \(R. iodosum\) after Fe(II) was fully oxidized were analyzed for particle size with a Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern Instruments GmbH). The surface area of solids was analyzed using a Micromeritics ASAP 2000 BET analyzer. Elemental composition of particles was measured on a Thermo Scientific Element2 ICP-MS at the European Institute for Marine Studies, France. Element concentrations were determined on solids after cultures reached stationary phase.
concentrations were determined on samples from the four different phases at all time points (note that Fe isotopes were measured on only a subset of time points and samples; see Table 2). Fe concentration data for all other experiments and replicates is reported in Supplementary Table 1. There was up to a few hundred μM Fe(III)aq in the Feaq samples (generally less than 10% of the total Fe in the bottle), so we will refer to the total Feaq phase (Fe(II) + Fe(III)) rather than an Fe(II)aq phase for isotopic measurements. Our measurements indicate that there was no Fe(II) ppt at any point in the experiments. However, a minor amount of Fe(II)interm (5–10% of total Fe in the bottle) was present during the first half of Fe(II) oxidation, while the intermediate fraction was dominated by Fe(III) in the second half of oxidation (Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 1). The average maximum oxidation rates for each biological replicate were calculated from linear regression of three datapoints that define the steepest part of Fe(II)aq vs. time curves, such as that presented in Fig. 2. The rate for Ri-1 was 1.26 ± 0.09 mM day⁻¹, 0.89 ± 0.05 mM day⁻¹ for Ri-2, and 0.61 ± 0.09 mM day⁻¹ for Ri-3 (error is standard error of the mean, SEM). These results are similar to the previously reported rate for R. iodosum (1.27 mM day⁻¹ with 4.07 mM initial Fe(II)) (Wu et al., 2014).

3.2. Fe isotope results

The δ⁵⁶Fe values for the three separate experiments (Ri-1, Ri-2, and Ri-3) and the three biological replicates of each experiment are presented in Table 2. Samples from experiment Ri-3 were measured twice; once with SSB and then again with a DS. The results of the two methods are highly correlated (R = 0.99882; Supplementary Fig. 1), indicating that the SSB measurements of our samples were not affected by matrix interferences or instrumental mass bias effects by residual organic compounds in the analyte solutions. Procedural blanks determined during column purification varied between 21 and 42 ng Fe, and are negligible compared to the amount of sample passed through the Fe purification procedure.

The ε determined for the δ⁵⁶Feaq data using a kinetic Rayleigh fractionation model ranged from 0.40‰ (Ri-2, 3rd replicate) to 1.49‰ (Ri-2, 2nd replicate) for SSB measurements, and 0.96‰ (Ri-3, 1st replicate) to 1.18‰ (Ri-3, 3rd replicate) for DS measurements (gray areas in Fig. 3). This calculation makes an assumption that all aqueous Fe was present as Fe(II)aq, which was not the case in our experiments (Fig. 2; Supplementary Table 1). The δ⁵⁶Feppt data were also fit using a Rayleigh model, in which Fe_ppt...
forms directly from Fe$_{aq}$. However, the $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{ppt}$ values derived from fits of these data points were generally larger than those determined from Rayleigh fits of the $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{aq}$ data, from 1.17‰ (Ri-1 2nd replicate) to 2.22‰ (Ri-3 1st replicate) for SSB measurements, and 1.96‰ (Ri-3 3rd replicate) to 1.98‰ (Ri-3 1st replicate) for DS measurements. The $\epsilon$ and sum of chi$^2$ values for Rayleigh fits for each Fe fraction (Fe$_{aq}$ or Fe$_{ppt}$) for each replicate of each experiment are listed in Table 2. To evaluate the possibility of equilibrium isotope fractionation, the $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{aq}$ data from all replicates of each experiment were additionally fit with an equilibrium (linear) model (black lines in Fig. 3). Independent equilibrium fits were made to the $\delta^{56}$Fe$_{ppt}$ data. The best fit lines and sum of chi$^2$ values for equilibrium fits are reported in Table 2.

### 3.3. Fe mineralogy

We used three different methodologies to determine the mineralogy and coordination environment of Fe in the solid Fe samples: $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy, EXAFS, and X-ray total scattering/PDF analysis. $^{57}$Fe Mössbauer spectra were collected at 295, 77 and 5 K on a washed and anoxically dried sample of the mineral phases after about 40% of
the Fe(II) had oxidized (Fig. 4). The room temperature (295 K) spectrum is characterized by the presence of one doublet with a truncated base. The center shift and quadrupole splitting of this doublet are characteristic of a poorly crystalline Fe(III) mineral phase, which likely corresponds to ferrihydrite (Table 3) (Murad, 2010). The doublet showed a broadened linewidth (0.198 mm/s) in comparison to a sample of synthetic ferrihydrite (0.137 mm/s), which was also measured at 295 K. Lower temperature measurements (77 K) indicate the emergence of a sextet, which is indicative of superparamagnetic behavior in the dried solid sample. At 5 K, the sextet is clearly defined and the doublet is no longer visible, indicating the sample is fully magnetically ordered at 5 K.

Two reference spectra were used to fit the Fe EXAFS spectrum of the wet, washed solid Fe phase collected after complete Fe(II) oxidation by *R. iodosum* (Fig. 5). Forty-seven percent of the fit was made up of a spectrum for a biogenic Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide mineral collected from an Fe(II)-oxidizing microbial mat (Toner et al., 2009b). The remaining 54% of the fit was made from a 2-line ferrihydrite synthesized in the presence of Si. The fit had a reduced chi² of 0.15.

High-energy total scattering data were collected at room temperature on the wet, washed solid Fe phase after complete oxidation by *R. iodosum*. The PDF (Fig. 6) obtained from the total scattering data of the sample was compared to the experimental PDFs of pure synthetic 2-line ferrihydrite and a natural, Si-rich biogenic Fe(III) mineral. Similarities in the positions of features at $r = 2.4 \text{Å}$ and $4 < r < 7 \text{Å}$ in the sample compared with 2-line ferrihydrite suggest that the sample contains a ferrihydrite-like Fe phase. However, the increased attenuation in the PDF amplitudes with increasing $r$-values indicates that the

![Fig. 4. 57Fe Mössbauer spectra were collected at 295, 77 and 5 K for the anoxically dried solid Fe phase after 40% of Fe(II) oxidation had been oxidized by *R. iodosum*. Data points correspond to the raw data, the dashed lines correspond to the fits of the data, and the lines correspond to the sub-spectra of the fit determined at 77 K.](image)

![Fig. 5. Fe K-edge EXAFS spectrum of the solid Fe phase formed after complete Fe(II) oxidation by *R. iodosum* (top solid line) and fit (dashed line). The spectrum was best fit with 2-line ferrihydrite synthesized in the presence of Si and a biogenic Fe(III) mineral from an Fe(II)-oxidizing microbial mat from a seafloor hydrothermal vent (Toner et al., 2009b).](image)

Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Temp. (K)</th>
<th>&lt;CS&gt; (mm/s)</th>
<th>&lt;ΔEQ&gt; (mm/s)</th>
<th>&lt;ɛ&gt; (mm/s)</th>
<th>&lt;H&gt; (T)</th>
<th>Pop. (%)</th>
<th>±</th>
<th>χ²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ferrihydrite</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td></td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>40.8</td>
<td>72.1</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>48.5</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
sample has a lesser degree of structural order and/or smaller particle size compared with 2-line ferrihydrite. Other differences between the sample and 2-line ferrihydrite are apparent, for example, the positions and amplitudes of the features between 2.5 and 4 Å. Overall, the sample PDF is remarkably similar to the PDF from the Si-rich biogenic Fe(III) (oxyhydr)oxide mineral collected from an Fe(II)-oxidizing microbial mat at a seafloor hydrothermal vent (Toner et al., 2012). The main exception is the peak in the sample PDF at 1.53 Å, which is slightly offset from that at 1.61 Å, the longer distance indicative of an Si-O bond (Toner et al., 2012). The peak at 1.53 Å in the sample PDF is assigned to phosphate based on the average P–O bond distance (Shannon, 1976).

The majority of particles present in the entire culture suspension after complete Fe(II) oxidation were between 40 and 300 nm in diameter. Fewer than 1% of particles between 1 and 100 μm in diameter represented 94% of the volume fraction, while more than 99% of the particles were smaller than 1 μm (Fig. 7). The average surface area of the particles (or particle aggregates) was 126.0 ± 0.5 m² g⁻¹.

4. DISCUSSION

4.1. Fe isotope fractionation during Fe(II) oxidation by *R. iodosum* – evidence for an isotopically light Fe(II/III)intermediate phase

The results of our accumulated dataset of δ⁵⁶Feₐq and δ⁵⁶Feₚppt from biological and analytical replicates measured by SSB and DS methods tell a coherent story: that the pool of Feₐq becomes isotopically lighter as Fe(II) oxidation progresses, consistent with production of an isotopically heavier phase during precipitation of Fe(III) (Fig. 3). Several observations from the variable separation protocols of our experiments (Table 1) suggest a suitable methodology for collecting and purifying samples for Fe isotope analysis from these types of biological samples. The δ⁵⁶Feₚppt values in the first half of Fe(II) oxidation in experiment Ri-1, the experiment in which Fe ppt was not washed with NaAc, were lighter than in subsequent experiments (Fig. 3a). It is likely that the solid in the beginning of the first experiment included some isotopically lighter phase, possibly adsorbed Feₐq (Fig. 1). After completion of experiment Ri-1, we modified our separation protocol to include a NaAc wash of the Feₚppt, resulting in heavier initial Feₚppt values in Ri-2 and subsequent experiments as compared to Ri-1. The Fe concentration data from the NaAc wash reveals that Fe(II) is the predominant sorbed species initially, suggesting the isotopically light component may be sorbed Fe(II) (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 1). Previous workers ruled out isotopic fractionation during extraction with NaAc (Crosby et al., 2007). Similar evidence for the presence of a light Fe component in Feₚppt was observed in the initial Fe(II) oxidation stages of previous biological Fe(II) oxidation experiments that were separated by centrifugation only (their Fig. 4; Kappler et al., 2010), or in experiments where the precipitate was not washed with NaAc (their Fig. 6; Croul et al., 2004). We recommend that future work include both filtration with 0.22 μm filters and washing of the precipitate with NaAc based on collected results from those prior studies. Rayleigh fits of Feₐq in experiments that were filtered (i.e., Ri-3) rather than centrifuged (i.e., Ri-1 and Ri-2) had larger z values derived from fits (Table 2), suggesting that filtration removes isotopically heavier micro-particles from the Fe(II)ₐq solution, which evade separation from the liquid by centrifugation.
As all Fe separation protocols were carried out on samples from Rt-3 (Table 1), and these samples also had the best Fe recovery of all experiments (Supplementary Table 1), we focus on this dataset for evaluating fits of the Rayleigh (kinetic) vs. equilibrium models. We observed a clear distinction between the range of ε values calculated by the Rayleigh model for biological replicates from the δ56Feaq data (0.96–1.18‰ vs. the δ56Feppt data (1.96–1.98‰ for DS measurements) vs. from the δ56Feppt data (1.96–1.98‰ for DS measurements), indicating that Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) precipitation in our experiments cannot be described by a single kinetic fractionation process. However, the Rayleigh equation for δ56Feppt (Eq. 2), assumes that Fe(III) precipitates directly after oxidation of Fe(II)aq, which is likely not the case if the epsilon of the δ56Feaq and δ56Feppt Rayleigh fits are different. Fits with an equilibrium model also resulted in unique slopes for the δ56Feaq vs. the δ56Feppt data, and similar quality of fits (i.e., sum of chi²) for each model for the δ56Feppt data (Table 2). Our isotopic data and fits suggest that the pool of Feaq is fractionated by a kinetic process during Fe(II) oxidation, whereas the δ56Feppt during Fe(III) precipitation could be controlled by kinetic or equilibrium fractionation, or a mixture of both models (Fig. 8). These observations imply the existence of a third phase of Fe, likely one of the components of Fe(II/III)interm, which forms between Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) precipitation, in a multi-step fractionation process. Our data imply a ca. 1‰ fractionation from Feaq to the product of Fe(II) oxidation. However, without clear evidence of which Fe phase serves as an intermediate and in what capacity, it is not possible to rewrite Eq. (2) or define a fractionation factor or epsilon for the δ56Feppt data (Fig. 8).

In previous work with freshwater photoferrotroph cultures, the overall isotopic fractionation (εFeppt–Feaq) was estimated to be 1.5 ± 0.2‰ from the difference between Fe ppt and Feaq (i.e., Δ56Feppt–56Feaq) when the fraction of Fe(II) oxidized was less than 0.5 (Croal et al., 2004). The data from that study also did not clearly fit either a Rayleigh (kinetic) or equilibrium model (their Fig. 7). Experiments with cultures of the nitrate-reducing Fe(II)-oxidizing bacterium Acidovorax sp. BoFeN1, also at circumneutral pH, the Δ56Feppt–56Feaq of 3‰ was related to a 2-step equilibrium-kinetic process (Kappler et al., 2010). These collected experiments characterizing Fe isotope fractionation by microbes oxidizing Fe(II) at circumneutral pH all noted that a single equilibrium or kinetic model could not explain the data, similar to what we observed.

Croal et al. (2004) suggested either that an Fe(III)-organic ligand species may be in isotopic equilibrium with aqueous Fe(II) in their experiments with photoferrotrophs, or that precipitation of Fe(III) minerals caused a kinetic fractionation. However, they did not determine whether an Fe(III)-organic species was present in their experiments. There is previously reported microscopic evidence for an Fe(III)-organic ligand phase in cultures of R. iodosum during similar stages of Fe(II) oxidation (Wu et al., 2014), an observation that is relevant for the present study. Furthermore, we observed up to several hundred μM of Fe(III)aq present in both experiments where Feaq was separated from Feppt by centrifugation (Rt-1 and Rt-2) and filtration (Rt-3; Fig. 1). Fe(III) was present in the aqueous phase despite the theoretical solubility of only sub-micromolar quantities of Fe(III) in seawater at pH 6.8 (Millero, 1998). Organic ligands can enhance the solubility of Fe(III) and produce a phase that is small enough to pass through a 0.22 μm filter (Bruland and Rue, 2001). Additionally, both Fe(II) and Fe(III) were detected in the H2O and NaAc washes. An Fe(III)-organic ligand phase was spatially co-localized to Fe minerals in cultures of R. iodosum, suggesting a physical mechanism for precipitation of the mineral from Fe initially bound by an organic species (Wu et al., 2014). Due to the lighter isotopic composition of Feppt with less stringent Fe separation protocols (i.e., Rt-1) and mass balance calculations (Eq. 4 and Supplementary Fig. 2), we suggest that Fe(II/III)interm may be isotopically lighter than Feppt. In order to distinguish whether an organic phase may serve to stabilize Fe in an aqueous phase in cultures of R. iodosum, thereby influencing the pathway by which Fe(III) precipitates and is fractionated, it is necessary to determine the nature of the Fe(II/III)interm phase and the Fe precipitates formed during Fe(II) oxidation by R. iodosum.

4.2. Mineralogical transformations occurring during Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) precipitation

Although the predominant phases of Fe in our experiments are Feaq and Feppt, 5–10% of the total Fe is present as the extractable Fe(II/III)interm phase throughout the course of experiments, in addition to the Fe(III) in the Feaq phase discussed above (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 1). In previous work, an Fe(III) phase was observed during Fe(II) oxidation by R. iodosum that was likely
soluble based on binding with a fluorescent sensor that does not bind to Fe(III) in minerals (Hao et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2014). This phase was co-localized to EPS, organics excreted by bacteria into their surroundings, based on the spatial overlap of fluorescence from a fluorescent Fe(III)-sensor and a fluorescent dye that binds EPS in confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) images. The spatial overlap observed between Fe(III), EPS and the Fe minerals present (Wu et al., 2014) is similar to what is expected with co-precipitation of ferrihydrite and EPS (Mikutta et al., 2008). The existence of an Fe(III) phase bound to EPS could correspond to the Fe(II/III) interm (Mikutta et al., 2008). The existence of an Fe(III) phase expected with co-precipitation of ferrihydrite and EPS (Mikutta et al., 2008). The existence of an Fe(III) phase bound to EPS could correspond to the Fe(II/III) interm (Mikutta et al., 2008).

The parameters obtained by fitting the Mössbauer spectrum (Table 3) indicate that the Fe solid produced by R. iodosum during Fe(II) oxidation is a poorly crystalline Fe(III) phase. The fit is superparamagnetic at room temperature and starts to become magnetically ordered above 77 K, as indicated by the presence of a sextet in combination with a doublet (Fig. 4). The blocking temperature is defined as the temperature when the spectral areas of the doublet and sextet regions of a Mössbauer spectrum equal each other, thus as the spectral area of the doublet in the 77 K spectra is 28%, the sample must have a blocking temperature above 77 K. At 5 K the sample is fully magnetically ordered with center shift, quadrupole shift and hyperfine field values, which are indicative of ferrihydrite (van der Zee et al., 2003; Murad, 2010). Furthermore, the average surface area of 126 ± 0.5 m² g⁻¹ is consistent with the range of natural and synthetic ferrihydrite (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003). All of these factors suggest that ferrihydrite is likely formed during Fe(II) oxidation by R. iodosum.

The fit of the EXAFS spectrum collected after all of the Fe(II) had been oxidized by R. iodosum (Fig. 5) utilized 2-line ferrihydrite, synthesized in the presence of Si, and a biogenic Fe(III) mineral sample collected from an Fe-rich mat likely inhabited by Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria at a seafloor hydrothermal vent (Toner et al., 2009b). This seafloor sample had spectral features consistent with some of the bonds present in 2-line ferrihydrite and goethite, but was characterized by short-range ordering imposed by the predominance of edge-sharing octahedral linkages. In that work, it was suggested that organic carbon may play a role in truncating the polymerization of Fe(III)-minerals (Toner et al., 2009b). Similar short-range ordering can also arise from the presence of inorganic (i.e., SiO₄²⁻, PO₄³⁻) ligands during Fe(III) mineral precipitation (Voegelin et al., 2010; Swanner et al., 2011). In the case of R. iodosum, the medium contained no added Si, and much of the phosphate was removed during Fe(II) precipitation in our media preparation steps, resulting in ca. 40 µM PO₄³⁻ remaining in the medium at inoculation (Hohmann et al., 2010). However, EPS are excreted by R. iodosum during Fe(II) oxidation (Wu et al., 2014), perhaps leading to structural features similar to the biogenic Fe(III) mineral observed by Toner et al. (2009b). The dominant size fraction of particles is between 40 and 300 nm (Fig. 7), similar to the size range of colloidal, low-crystallinity, short-range order nanoparticles observed in cultures of microaerophilic Fe(II)-oxidizing bacteria (Swanner et al., 2011).

The PDF derived from the Fe solid formed by R. iodosum at the end of Fe(II) oxidation (Fig. 6) had similar spectral features to 2-line ferrihydrite (Michel et al., 2007b) and a Si-rich biogenic Fe(III)-mineral formed within an Fe(II)-oxidizing mat at a seafloor hydrothermal vent (Toner et al., 2012). The Fe–O bond in reference 2-line ferrihydrite is indicated by the peak at 1.97 Å (Michel et al., 2007b), and corresponds to the peak in the sample. In both the sample and the biogenic Fe(III) mineral, an additional peak was present at lower r distances due to association of an impurity. Slight offsets between Fe–Fe peaks in our sample and that of 2-line ferrihydrite (edge-sharing at 3.03 Å and corner-sharing 3.43 Å) are due to increased structural disorder, likely due to the presence of impurities (Cismasu et al., 2011; Toner et al., 2012), consistent with the attenuation of the PDF in our sample at higher r, and the small particle sizes (Fig. 7). For the solid sample, association of phosphate with ferrihydrite should have an impact on short-range ordering due to the formation of P–O–Fe bonds and/or phosphate polymers. Voegelin et al. (2010) noticed a decrease in edge-sharing Fe–Fe bonds based on EXAFS data in Fe(III) minerals with P:Fe of 0.12–1.2. Cell–Fe(III) mineral aggregates at the end of oxidation experiments by R. iodosum have P:Fe ratios of 0.04–0.05 as measured by ICP-MS. Although phosphate in the medium after filtration of initial Fe(II) precipitates should be low (40 µM) (Hohmann et al., 2010), it is possible that initial ferric precipitates during oxidation incorporate a higher amount of phosphate (Swanner et al., 2011), leading to the detection of the P–O bond and overall decrease in the structural order of produced minerals. As the sample was washed five times prior to analysis, it seems likely that any soluble or sorbed Fe(III) phases were removed during centrifugation and/or washing, although contributions of quantitatively minor Fe(III) phases (i.e., less than 5–10% of Fe) may not be detectable in the PDF.

### 4.3. The role of organics in biological Fe isotope fractionations

As organic matter and Fe remain in association in sediments on geological time scales (Lalonde et al., 2012), understanding the role of Fe-organics in Fe isotopic fractionation is critical to interpreting the biological history of Fe-rich marine sediments. We propose that the presence of Fe(III) in the Feₐq as well as the persistence of an Fe(II/III)interm phase during oxidation influences the isotope compositions and evolution of the Feₐq reservoir according to a kinetic process and the Feₐq reservoir through a separate kinetic and/or equilibrium process (Fig. 8). The Fe(III) in Feₐq is likely to include an organic, ligand-type component, possibly EPS, based on the size fractions allowed through the filter (0.22 μm), and
previously published mineralogical and CLSM data during Fe(II) oxidation by *R. iodosum* (Wu et al., 2014). The Fe(II/III) intermediate phases may possibly also constitute Fe-organic phases, based on EXAFS results, or colloidal Fe phases formed as a result of impurities (e.g., PO$_4^{3-}$) inferred from X-ray total scattering data (Fig. 7). This conclusion is supported by the predominantly small size range of particles (99.7% < 1 µm) in the culture suspensions (Fig. 8). The δ$^{56}$Fe(II/III)$_{\text{interm}}$ calculated with Eq. (4) from DS measurements of R-3 was 0.1–0.4‰ heavier than the Fe$_{\text{aq}}$ (Supplementary Fig. 2). The kinetic fit of Fe$_{\text{aq}}$ suggests rather a fractionation close to 1‰ between the immediate product of Fe(II) oxidation and Fe$_{\text{aq}}$ (Fig. 3 and Table 2). This disagreement could stem from the errors in our Fe concentration determination propagating through Eq. (4), or from the effect of multiple Fe phases with distinct Fe isotope compositions within Fe(II/III)$_{\text{interm}}$ and multiple fractionation processes between Fe(II) oxidation and Fe(III) precipitation (Fig. 8). The persistent fraction of total Fe potentially bound to an organic phase could impose a kinetic fractionation associated with binding of Fe(III) to an organic phase with high Fe affinity (Brantley et al., 2001), consistent with the interpretation that the Fe$_{\text{aq}}$ pool is fractionated by a kinetically-controlled process during Fe(II) oxidation (Fig. 8). Strong organic Fe ligands can discriminate toward the lighter isotope (Brantley et al., 2001), However, discrimination of ligands for the light isotopes was observed when Fe(II) was mobilized and oxidized out of a mixed-valence Fe mineral (hornblende; Brantley et al., 2001). When Fe was mobilized by organic ligands from a pool of Fe(III) (in goethite), there was no fractionation (Brantley et al., 2004). And, experiments with the synthetic siderophore desferrioxamine B discriminated for heavier isotopes of Fe(III) from a dissolved inorganic Fe(III) pool with a fractionation factor of 0.6‰ (Dideriksen et al., 2008). Heavier Fe was also enriched in colloidal oxic river particles that contained abundant organic C (Ilina et al., 2013). These results collectively suggest that organic ligands may fractionate Fe isotopes, with the direction and magnitude of fractionation dependent on the identity of the ligand and the oxidation state of Fe.

The evolution of δ$^{56}$Fe$_{\text{ppt}}$ appears to be controlled by a precipitation process that is distinct from the fate of Fe(III) during from Fe(II) oxidation. The data are consistent with both kinetic and equilibrium fractionation models (Fig. 3 and Table 2). We did not evaluate the possibility of a Rayleigh fit of the δ$^{56}$Fe$_{\text{ppt}}$ data where Eq. (2) was modified to account for Fe$_{\text{ppt}}$ forming from Fe(II/III)$_{\text{interm}}$ rather than Fe$_{\text{aq}}$. As there may be multiple fractions of Fe within Fe(II/III)$_{\text{interm}}$ with different δ$^{56}$Fe, which we did not measure, and Fe$_{\text{ppt}}$ could form from one or more of these fractions, further definition of these phases and their composition would be necessary to rewrite Eq. (2). Nevertheless, equilibrium fractionation was previously proposed to explain δ$^{56}$Fe$_{\text{ppt}}$ trends during similar experiments with nitrate-dependent Fe(II) oxidizing microbes at neutral pH (Kappler et al., 2010). Equilibrium exchange of Fe$_{\text{ppt}}$ may be promoted in a system with nm-scale Fe(III) minerals with high surface areas (Kappler et al., 2010). The short-range ordered ferrihydrite mineral that forms during Fe(II) oxidation by *R. iodosum* may therefore be suited to attainment of isotopic equilibrium with other Fe phases such as Fe(II/III)$_{\text{interm}}$ (Fig. 8). Furthermore, if precipitation is slow, such as if Fe(III) precipitates from an organic-ligand bound phase or transforms from a colloidal phase, equilibrium isotope exchange could be supported (Johnson et al., 2002; Anbar et al., 2005; Balei et al., 2006).

### 4.4. Toward an Fe isotope biosignature for microbial Fe(II) oxidation?

This slight discrimination for the heavier isotope during Fe(II) oxidation and formation of the Fe(II/III)$_{\text{interm}}$ phase we infer from our data is in the same direction as Fe(III) mineral precipitation, but unlike the expected favoring of light isotopes by biological processing. It was once hoped that a unique, biological fractionation signature existed for biological Fe redox systems (Beard et al., 1999), but during microbial Fe(II) oxidation the overall fractionation seems to be dominated by precipitates favoring the heavy isotope. If isotopically distinct Fe-organic phases and/or colloidal minerals are intermediates or stabilized during certain types of biological oxidation, the existence of such phases has implications for interpreting the biological contribution to Fe(II) oxidation in both modern and ancient systems.

In several modern, circumneutral Fe(II)-oxidizing systems, there exist pools of Fe$_{\text{ppt}}$ that are lighter than expected if precipitation of Fe(III) minerals was the dominant process causing fractionation from the Fe(II)$_{\text{aq}}$ pool. For instance, at Chocolate Pots Hot Springs in Yellowstone NP, the degree of fractionation between aqueous Fe(II) and Fe(III) minerals is less than expected given the extent of Fe(II) oxidation (Wu et al., 2013). In other words, the Fe$_{\text{ppt}}$ is lighter than it should be using published fractionation factors. Those authors suggest that the high Si concentrations (up to 150 ppm) at that spring promote the formation of an Fe-Si species that sorbs to the Fe(III) mineral surface. Based on their previous experimental work, such an isotopically light phase was detected in 5 N HCl extractions, and suggested to be a sorbing Fe-Si species (Wu et al., 2012). At Chocolate Pots, the microbial community is dominated by cyanobacteria that form extensive, organic-rich mats (Pierson et al., 1999). An additional possibility is that the isotopically light phase (relative to Fe$_{\text{aq}}$) inferred for Chocolate Pots could be Fe bound to organic ligands, or present in a short-range ordered colloid mineral phase generated as a result of Si impurities (e.g., Toner et al., 2012), similar to the Fe(II/III)$_{\text{interm}}$ phase we report on here.

Chocolate Pots hot spring is not the only environment where the isotopic composition of Fe-rich mats is lighter than expected for a single, kinetically-controlled fractionation process. In hydrothermally-precipitated sediments of the Jun Mayen hydrothermal field, Fe$_{\text{ppt}}$ had an isotopic composition similar to the fluids, or occasionally slightly heavier (Moeller et al., 2014). In this work, the authors invoke partial oxidation of Fe(II)$_{\text{aq}}$ as a mechanism for this lighter than expected composition, or alternately the input of a secondary source of light Fe leached from underlying...
within the hosting sediments. A further possibility is that Fe binds to organic phases within the mat and/or precipitates in the presence of Si, generating colloidal Fe phases with an isotopic composition only slightly heavier than the hydrothermal Fe(II)aq source. In a series of spectroscopic investigations of mats and particles from hydrothermal systems, Toner et al. (2009b, 2012) found evidence for the presence of Fe-binding organic ligands and Si in promoting the formation of short-range ordered (poorly crystalline and low polymerization) Fe oxides in a biogenic Fe mat from Loihi seamount. A follow-up study noted the persistence of Fe(II) associated with organic material in hydrothermal plumes (Toner et al., 2009a), suggesting a mechanism for transporting Fe(II) (i.e., partial oxidation) even in oxic systems. Between stabilizing Fe(II) in solution and producing an only slightly heavier Fe(III) pool, organic phases (and Si) may contribute to the lower than expected overall fractionations observed in natural and biological Fe(II)-oxidizing systems. If this is the case, it might be expected that Fe associated with organic stalks of mFeOB shows a similar small fractionation from the Fe(II)aq source as we see in our experiments. To our knowledge, such a measurement has not been made but would be a test of this hypothesis. Further work to isolate and isotopically analyze soluble Fe-organic phases would also be of value.

Shifting our gaze to the ancient, our results may yet offer implications for the interpretation of biological involvement in Precambrian IF deposition. Oxidized Fe minerals in IF tend to be isotopically heavy, while mixed-valence phases are isotopically light (Johnson et al., 2005, 2008). It has been suggested that isotopically light Fe in IF results from the fractionation imposed by microbial Fe(III) reduction, which produces isotopically lighter Fe(II)aq. Certainly Fe(III) reduction via reaction with organic matter was involved in forming Fe(II) phases such as siderite in Precambrian IF, which bear isotopically light carbon that does not reflect precipitation in equilibrium with a seawater carbonate source (Johnson et al., 2013). Yet abiotic reactions between organic carbon and Fe(III) minerals at elevated temperature and pressure can also generate mixed-valence Fe minerals including siderite and magnetite (Posth et al., 2013a), the Fe isotope composition of which is currently unknown, but likely to be retained through low-temperature metamorphism (Frost et al., 2007). Another unconstrained variable is the role of the intimate association of Fe with organic carbon that is observed in modern sediments and biologically-precipitated Fe(III) mats (Toner et al., 2009b; Lalonde et al., 2012) in preserving primary Fe(III) minerals such as ferrihydrite. Toner et al. (2012) observed that a short-range ordered ferrihydrite associated with Si and biogenic material did not transform to hematite until 400°C, as opposed to ready transformation of more ordered synthetic ferrihydrite to hematite at much lower temperatures (Cornell and Schwertmann, 2003; Posth et al., 2013a). These experiments indicate that biogenic and/or colloidal Fe(III)-oxides with impurities may be more resistant to thermal reduction. Low-temperature microbial Fe(III) reduction may also be limited in these primary Fe(III) oxide deposits, such as those near seafloor hydrothermal systems, because the organic carbon content may be too low to support their respiration, or because organic material such as mFeOB is coated with Fe, preventing reduction (Emerson, 2009). Therefore, biologically-precipitated Fe(III) minerals such as those produced by photoferrotrophs or mFeOB might be expected to be preserved in the IF record as dominantly Fe(III) phases, in which Fe could be isotopically lighter than expected using fractionation factors determined for abiotic Fe(II) oxidation.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The patterns of Fe isotope fractionation between Feaq and Feppt during Fe(II) oxidation by the photoferrotroph R. iodosum are highly influenced by soluble Fe(III) phases, inferred to be organically bound, and intermediate/sorbed phases of Fe(II) and Fe(III) that can be removed from the Fe(III)ppt by water and NaAc washes. Based on bulk chemistry, mineralogical, and microscopic inferences, it is likely that these soluble or intermediate phases consist of both Fe(II) and Fe(III), bound to an organic phase or forming colloidal, low crystallinity Fe minerals. These intermediate phases may be slightly isotopically heavier than the Feaq, but lighter than Feppt. The occurrence of such intermediate phases is consistent with kinetic isotope fractionation during binding of either Fe(II) or Fe(III) to strong organic ligands, or Fe precipitation in the presence of Si or other ligands that interfere with Fe(III) mineral growth (e.g., phosphate). Formation of Feppt may occur from these phases in a kinetic or equilibrium fractionation process. Our evidence for such isotopically distinct Fe-organic or colloidal phases during microbially-influenced Fe(II) oxidation will be useful in unraveling the contribution of organics to the Fe isotope signatures of other Fe-rich, biological systems, and hints that Fe isotope signatures from organic-rich systems during Earth’s past may indicate an active biological community. However, it is not clear whether such a signature is unique to photoferrotrophic organisms, and it could rather signify a general biological contribution to Fe(II) oxidation.
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