

RADWAN

Rate Adaptive Wide Area Networks

Rachee Singh / U. Massachusetts Amherst (now at MSR) Manya Ghobadi / Microsoft Research (now at MIT) Klaus-T. Foerster / University of Vienna Mark Filer / Microsoft Research Phillipa Gill / U. Massachusetts Amherst

The paper is available at https://dl.acm.org/doi/10.1145/3230543.3230570 . Slides based on those from Rachee Singh. Thanks a lot!





Identify inefficiencies in the optical backbone to gain capacity, availability at reduced cost.



Gain 134 Tbps of capacity and prevent 25% link failures in large North American WAN.



Outline

- How inefficient are optical backbones?
- Dynamic capacity links in WANs
- Challenges in dynamically adapting link capacities
- Rate Adaptive WANs



Optical Backbone Networks



• OXC: switches optical signals



- Signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) measures signal quality
- At OXC, measure signal quality
 - 8,000 wavelengths
 - Every 15 minutes
 - February 2015 to June 2017



Longitudinal Signal Quality on Fiber





Opportunity for capacity gain

For 8,000 wavelengths in WAN:

- Analyze average SNR
- Compare with thresholds for link capacity

64% of optical wavelengths can operate at 175 Gbps

95% of optical wavelengths can operate at higher than 100





Opportunity for availability gain



- Distribution of link failure SNR
 Across WAN links
 - For 2.5 years

25% of failures have SNR > 2.5dB

These failures can be prevented by reducing link capacity to 50 Gbps



Our proposal

• Dynamically adapt link capacities in response to changes in SNR.

Gain 134 Tbps capacity

By increasing link capacity when high SNR Prevent 25% link failures

By reducing link capacity when low SNR



Outline

- How inefficient are optical backbones?
- Dynamic capacity links in WANs
- Challenges in dynamically adapting link capacities
- Rate Adaptive WANs



Challenges in dynamically adapting link capacities

• Requires hardware support for capacity reconfiguration

• Requires re-thinking IP layer traffic engineering



Hardware support for capacity reconfiguration

Small scale lab experiments show:

• Commodity hardware takes over 1 minute of link downtime to change capacity

• Able to reduce to 35ms with evaluation board



Question

How should traffic engineering incorporate dynamic capacity links?

Capacity changes cause links to be **unavailable for** carrying traffic.

Capacity changes lead to **network churn** and can be **disruptive**.



Outline

- How inefficient are optical backbones?
- Dynamic capacity links in WANs
- Challenges in dynamically adapting link capacities
- Rate Adaptive WANs



Solution

We design a Rate Adaptive Wide Area Network (RADWAN) traffic engineering controller.



Rate Adaptive

Adapts link rates to meet demands and improve availability Minimally disruptive

Reconfigure capacity while minimizing network churn





Proof of concept: RADWAN







Throughput Gains with RADWAN





Conclusion

- Physical layer today is configured statically
- We show that this leaves money on the table, in terms of
 - Network performance capacity
 - Link availability
 - Equipment cost (\$/Gbps)
- **RADWAN** introduces programmability in Layer 1
 - Improves network throughput by 40%
 - Reduces link downtime by a factor of 18
 - Reduces equipment cost (\$/Gbps) by 32%



RADWAN

Rate Adaptive Wide Area Networks

Rachee Singh / U. Massachusetts Amherst Manya Ghobadi / Microsoft Research Klaus-T. Foerster / University of Vienna Mark Filer / Microsoft Research Phillipa Gill / U. Massachusetts Amherst



Interested in an Overview on Algorithmic Problems in Reconfigurable Networks?



TABLE 1 Selected timeline of reconfigurable data centers

2009	– Flyways [51]: Steerable antennas (narrow beamwidth at 60 GHz [78]) to serve hotspots
2010	 Helios [33]/c-Through [98, 99]: Hybrid switch architecture, maximum matching (Edmond's algorithm [30]), single-hop reconfigurable connections (O(10)ms reconfiguration time).
	 Proteus [21, 89]: k reconfigurable connections per ToR, multi-hop path stitching, multi-hop reconfigurable connections (weighted b-matching [69], edge-exchanges for connectivity [72], wavelength assignment via edge-coloring [67] on multigraphs)
2011 •	 Extension of Flyways [51] to better handle practical concerns such as stability and interference for 60GHz links, along with greedy heuristics for dynamic link placement [45]
2012	- Mirror Mirror on the ceiling [106]: 3D-beamforming (60 Ghz wireless), signals bounce off the ceiling
2013	 Mordia [31, 32, 77]: Traffic matrix scheduling, matrix decomposition (Birkhoff-von-Neumann (BvN) [18, 97]), fiber ring structure with wavelengths (O(10)µs reconfiguration time)
	 SplayNets [6, 76, 82]: Fine-grained and online reconfigurations in the spirit of self-adjusting datastructures (all links are reconfigurable), aiming to strike a balance between short route lengths and reconfiguration costs
2014	- REACToR [56]: Buffer burst of packets at end-hosts until circuit provisioned, employs [77]
	- Firefly [14] Combination of Free Space Optics and Galvo/switchable mirrors (small fan-out)
2015	- Solstice [57]: Greedy perfect matching based hybrid scheduling heuristic that outperforms BvN [77]
	– Designs for optical switches with a reconfiguration latency of $O(10)ns$ [3]
2016	 ProjecToR [39]: Distributed Free Space Optics with digital micromirrors (high fan-out) [38] (Stable Matching [26]), goal of (starvation-free) low latency
	 – Eclipse [95, 96]: (1 − 1/e^(1-ε))-approximation for throughput in traffic matrix scheduling (single-hop reconfigurable connections, hybrid switch architecture), outperforms heuristics in [57]
2017	 DAN [7, 8, 11, 12]: Demand-aware networks based on reconfigurable links only and optimized for a demand snapshot, to minimized average route length and/or minimize load
	- MegaSwitch [23]: Non-blocking circuits over multiple fiber rings (stacking rings in [77] doesn't suffice)
	- Rotornet [63]: Oblivious cyclical reconfiguration w. selector switches [64] (Valiant load balancing [94])
	- Tale of Two Topologies [105]: Convert locally between Clos [24] topology and random graphs [87, 88]
2018	- DeepConf [81]/xWeaver [102]: Machine learning approaches for topology reconfiguration
2019	- Complexity classifications for weighted average path lengths in reconfigurable topologies [34, 35, 36]
	- ReNet [13] and Push-Down-Trees [9] providing statically and dynamically optimal reconfigurations
	- DisSplayNets [75]: fully decentralized SplayNets
	– Opera [60]: Maintaining expander-based topologies under (oblivious) reconfiguration
Ţ	

Toward Demand-Aware Networking: A Theory for Self-Adjusting Networks C. Avin, S. Schmid ACM SIGCOMM Computer Communication Review, October 2018 Survey of Reconfigurable Data Center Networks: Enablers, Algorithms, Complexity K.-T. Foerster, S. Schmid ACM SIGACT News, June 2019