Debates on Mental Lexicon and its Cerebral Basis: Evidence from Russian

Tatiana Chernigovskaya

St.Petersburg State University, Russia

tatiana.chernigovskaya@gmail.com

150 years ago P. Broca and K. Wernicke started the story of cerebral specialization for language and some other cognitive functions. We currently know a lot about versatile nature of language localization as seen from behavioral and brain functional imaging studies in cross-linguistic perspective. Neurolinguistic debates on modular vs. parallel processing now get experimental evidence of neuronal patterns based on both probabilities and universals shown in different language families. The generation of regular and irregular past tense verbs has long been a testing ground for different models of inflection in the mental lexicon. According to the dualsystem view, regular forms are generated by a rule and irregular forms are retrieved from memory. The single-system view postulates a single integrated system for all forms. Behavioral studies examined a variety of languages, but neuroimaging studies still rely almost exclusively on English and German data. We used Russian, a language with a much more complex verb class system. We randomly mixed different tasks (inflecting nonce and real verbs and nouns of different types) and compiled large sets of stimuli matched for frequency and phonological complexity. Unlike most previously obtained results, our findings are more readily compatible with the single-system approach. Observed activation patterns are best explained by the difference in processing load between experimental tasks. The data on mental lexicon organization in speakers of Russian were also received by our group in normal and aphasic adult subjects, normal and SLI children and second language learners.

Gor K., Chernigovskaya T., Mental Lexicon Structure in L1 and L2 Acquisition: Russian Evidence. // «Glossos». The Slavic and East European Language Resource Center. Issue 4, Summer 2003 (can be accessed from http://www.seelrc.org/glossos/issues/4/)

Gor K., Chernigovskaya T. Rules in the Processing of Russian Verbal Morphology // Current Issues in Formal Slavic Linguistics ed. by Gerhild Zybatow, Uwe Junghanns, Grit Mehlhorn, and Luka Szucsich (= Linguistik International; 5) Frankfurt/Main [etc.]: Lang, 2001 xvi, pp. 528-536.

Gor K., Chernigovskaya T. Formal Instruction and the Acquisition of Verbal Morphology. In: (Alex Housen and Michel Pierrard eds.) Investigation in Instructed Second Language Acquisition. Mouton de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 2004. Pp. 103-139.

Slioussar N.A., Kireev M.V., Chernigovskaya T.V., Medvedev S.V. An ER-fMRI study of Russian verb morphology // Brain & Language. 2013. v.127.

Tkachenko E., T. Chernigovskaya. Input Frequencies in Processing of Verbal Morphology in 11 and 12:Evidence from Russian // In: A. Grønn & I. Marijanovic (eds.) Russian in Contrast, Oslo Studies in Language 2(2), 2010. 281–318. (ISSN 1890-9639). http://www.journals.uio.no/osla. Oslo_

Oh, T. M., Tan, K. L., Ng, P., Berne, Y. I., & Graham, S. (2011). The past tense debate: is phonological complexity the key to the puzzle? Neuroimage, 57, 271–280

.

Slioussar, N., Kireev, M.V., Chernigovskaya, T.V., Kataeva, G.V., Korotkov, A.D., & Medvedev, S.V. (2013). An ER-fMRI study of Russian inflectional morphology. Ms under review.

Stamatakis, E.A., Marslen-Wilson, W.D., Tyler, L.K. & Fletcher, P.C. (2005). Cingulate control of frontotemporal integration reflects linguistic demands: a three way interaction in functional connectivity. NeuroImage, 28, 115-121.

Tyler, L.K., Stamatakis, E.A., Post, B., Randall, B., & Marslen-Wilson, W.D. (2005). Temporal and frontal systems in speech comprehension: an fMRI study of past tense processing. Neuropsychologia, 43, 1963-1974.

Ullman, M. T. (2004). Contributions of memory circuits to language: The declarative/procedural model. Cognition, 92, 231–270.

Yang, C. D. (2002). Knowledge and Learning in Natural Language. Oxford: Oxford University Press