Aspectual Interpretation of the Bi-aspectual Verbs in Czech

Mgr. Jan Chromý, Ph.D.

Mgr. Eva Lehečková, Ph.D.

Aspect in Czech

 standard verb is either entirely perfective or entirely imperfective in all tenses and forms

```
imperfective: perfective:

kopat (to kick) kopnout (to kick)

kope (he/she kicks) kopne (he/she will kick)

kopal (he/she kicked) kopnul (he/she kicked)

...
```

- perfective: focus a situation in its entirety, including both initial and final endpoints
- imperfective: focus part of a situation, including neither initial nor final endpoints (Smith, 1993)

Bi-aspectual verbs

- specific class of verbs which can express both perfective or imperfective aspect
- mostly borrowed, with suffix -ova-
- testing bi-aspectuality (Veselý, 2008)
 - perfective verb could not form a periphrastic future tense form
 Petr bude opravovat dům.
 *Petr bude opravit dům.
 Petr bude renovovat dům.
 "Petr will reconstruct/renovate a house"
 - imperfective verb could not be used in a present tense form in a temporal clause with $a\check{z}$
 - *Až opravuje dům, postaví další. Až opraví dům, postaví další. Až renovuje dům, postaví další. "When he/she will renovate/reconstruct a house, he will build another one."

Our research

Basic principle:

• When used in a particular utterance, bi-aspectual verbs are interpreted as either perfective or imperfective, but not "bi-aspectually". (Janda, 2004)

```
Pepa renov-uj-e byt.

Pepa renovate-PRS-SG flat.ACC.SG imperfective?

Pepa rezign-ova-l.

Pepa resign-PST-SG. perfective?
```

- General research question
 - Which factors influence the aspectual interpretation of bi-aspectual verbs in Czech?

Participants

- N = 60 students of the Charles University in Prague (mean age 22.12 years)
- randomly divided to two groups (30 people each) and each group filled out a different questionnaire
- all participants filled out a questionnaire in the same room before a lecture took place

Hypotheses

- If a bi-aspectual verb is used in present tense form, it will be interpreted as imperfective.
- If a bi-aspectual verb is used in preterite form, it will be interpreted as perfective.

Method

- two questionnaires; both contained 14 sentences, each of them comprised of 3 to 4 loanwords and some domestic Czech words
- one of the loanwords was always a bi-aspectual verb
- identical sentences in both questionnaires; difference only in the tense of the verb: in each questionnaire, there were 7 verbs in preterite form and 7 verbs in present tense form
- task: "reformulate each sentence", i.e. substitute all the loanwords with Czech domestic words and keep the meaning of the sentence roughly identical at the same time
- verbs analyzed: mobilizovat, demonstrovat, dešifrovat, rezervovat, explodovat, renovovat, skórovat, nominovat, emigrovat, realizovat, rezignovat, expandovat, identifikovat, detoxikovat

- Analysis based on the assumption of substitutional equivalence
 - If the speaker chose to substitute the original verb with a perfective verb, it was counted as perfective (and reversely).
 - If the speaker chose to substitute the original verb with a different biaspectual verb (e.g. nominovat > jmenovat) or with a semantically different verb (e.g. detoxikovat > otrávit) or did not to substitute the original verb at all, it was discarded.

Example

- Firma expandovala do industriální sféry.
- "The company expanded / was expanding / has been expanding to industrial sphere."
- a) ... pronikla ...; b) ... pronikala ...

| Present tense | Perfective | Imperfective | Discarded |
|---------------|------------|--------------|-----------|
| mobilizovat | 3 | 24 | 3 |
| demonstrovat | 0 | 30 | 0 |
| dešifrovat | 2 | 26 | 2 |
| rezervovat | 6 | 16 | 8 |
| explodovat | 19 | 9 | 2 |
| renovovat | 0 | 26 | 4 |
| skórovat | 1 | 10 | 19 |
| nominovat | 2 | 19 | 9 |
| emigrovat | 0 | 29 | 1 |
| realizovat | 0 | 27 | 3 |
| rezignovat | 9 | 13 | 8 |
| expandovat | 0 | 26 | 4 |
| identifikovat | 1 | 25 | 4 |
| detoxikovat | 0 | 28 | 2 |

| PAST TENSE | Perfective | Imperfective | Discarded |
|---------------|------------|--------------|-----------|
| mobilizovat | 26 | 1 | 3 |
| demonstrovat | 19 | 10 | 1 |
| dešifrovat | 27 | 2 | 1 |
| rezervovat | 29 | 0 | 1 |
| explodovat | 28 | 0 | 2 |
| renovovat | 12 | 15 | 3 |
| skórovat | 21 | 0 | 9 |
| nominovat | 17 | 1 | 12 |
| emigrovat | 14 | 12 | 4 |
| realizovat | 29 | 0 | 1 |
| rezignovat | 28 | 0 | 2 |
| expandovat | 27 | 1 | 2 |
| identifikovat | 28 | 0 | 2 |
| detoxikovat | 23 | 6 | 1 |

Generalized linear mixed model

| FIXED EFFECTS | Estimate (SE) | р |
|------------------|----------------|---------|
| Intercept | 4.592 (0.866) | < 0.001 |
| Tense (present) | -6.795 (0.564) | < 0.001 |
| Durativity (yes) | -2.336 (1.092) | < 0.05 |

| RANDOM EFFECTS | Variance | Standard Deviation |
|----------------|----------|--------------------|
| Verb | 3.529 | 1.879 |
| Subject | 0.367 | 0.606 |

- Interpretation
 - tense of the verb strongly influences the aspectual interpretation of the verb
 - durative and instantaneous verbs tend to behave differently

- We did not controll for subject and object number
 - 5 sentences with plural object, 4 with singular object (and 5 intransitive)
 - 9 sentences with singular subject, 5 with plural subject
 - potential problem for the results

Experiment 2: subject number

Participants

- N = 121 students of the Charles University in Prague
- randomly divided to four groups (N = 31, 30, 28, 32) and each group filled out a different questionnaire

Method

- the same as experiment 1
- subject number was also controlled each verb was used twice in preterite tense (1x plural subject, 1x singular) and twice in present tense (1x plural subject, 1x singular)
- object was always in singular form

| Present tense, Singular subject | Perfective | Imperfective | Discarded |
|---------------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|
| mobilizovat | 1 | 25 | 5 |
| demonstrovat | 0 | 28 | 3 |
| dešifrovat | 5 | 20 | 6 |
| rezervovat | 17 | 6 | 8 |
| explodovat | 24 | 2 | 5 |
| renovovat | 0 | 31 | 0 |
| skórovat | 0 | 20 | 11 |
| nominovat | 6 | 7 | 18 |
| emigrovat | 13 | 13 | 5 |
| realizovat | 0 | 31 | 0 |
| rezignovat | 5 | 16 | 10 |
| expandovat | 1 | 23 | 7 |
| identifikovat | 7 | 17 | 7 |
| detoxikovat | 1 | 27 | 3 |

| PRESENT TENSE, PLURAL SUBJECT | Perfective | Imperfective | Discarded |
|-------------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|
| mobilizovat | 0 | 25 | 2 |
| demonstrovat | 0 | 26 | 3 |
| dešifrovat | 3 | 19 | 7 |
| rezervovat | 6 | 18 | 7 |
| explodovat | 3 | 21 | 4 |
| renovovat | 0 | 28 | 2 |
| skórovat | 3 | 16 | 6 |
| nominovat | 5 | 14 | 12 |
| emigrovat | 4 | 23 | 0 |
| realizovat | 0 | 27 | 3 |
| rezignovat | 4 | 21 | 6 |
| expandovat | 0 | 30 | 2 |
| identifikovat | 5 | 18 | 5 |
| detoxikovat | 1 | 25 | 1 |

| PAST TENSE, SINGULAR SUBJECT | Perfective | Imperfective | Discarded |
|------------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|
| mobilizovat | 25 | 0 | 3 |
| demonstrovat | 17 | 10 | 1 |
| dešifrovat | 31 | 0 | 1 |
| rezervovat | 27 | 0 | 0 |
| explodovat | 29 | 0 | 1 |
| renovovat | 14 | 16 | 0 |
| skórovat | 20 | 1 | 10 |
| nominovat | 18 | 0 | 9 |
| emigrovat | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| realizovat | 29 | 0 | 2 |
| rezignovat | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| expandovat | 26 | 0 | 2 |
| identifikovat | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| detoxikovat | 20 | 5 | 3 |

| PAST TENSE, PLURAL SUBJECT | Perfective | Imperfective | Discarded |
|----------------------------|------------|--------------|-----------|
| mobilizovat | 29 | 2 | 1 |
| demonstrovat | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| dešifrovat | 25 | 0 | 5 |
| rezervovat | 27 | 0 | 2 |
| explodovat | 31 | 1 | 0 |
| renovovat | 9 | 18 | 1 |
| skórovat | 20 | 0 | 8 |
| nominovat | 18 | 0 | 11 |
| emigrovat | 30 | 1 | 1 |
| realizovat | 25 | 0 | 1 |
| rezignovat | 30 | 0 | 0 |
| expandovat | 28 | 0 | 1 |
| identifikovat | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| detoxikovat | 18 | 4 | 3 |

Experiment 2: subject number

Generalized linear mixed model

| FIXED EFFECTS | Estimate (SE) | р |
|-----------------|----------------|---------|
| Intercept | 6.316 (0.855) | < 0.001 |
| Tense (present) | -8.031 (0.602) | < 0.001 |
| Subject (sg) | 1.083 (0.247) | < 0.001 |
| Durative (yes) | -4.064 (0.991) | < 0.001 |

| RANDOM EFFECTS | Variance | Standard Deviation |
|----------------|----------|--------------------|
| Verb | 2.838 | 1.685 |
| Subject | 1.434 | 1.198 |

Experiment 2: subject number

Results

- verb tense does have a strong effect on the aspectual interpretation
- subject number is also a significant factor (mostly for present tense)
 - if there is a plural subject, we can see a tendency for an imperfective interpretation
- also, durativity of the verb seems to have a strong effect on the aspectual interpretation
 - instantaneous verbs tend to be interpreted more perfectively in the present form
 - some durative verbs tend to be interpreted more imperfectively in the past tense form

Future work

- current problems
 - few verbs analyzed
 - we need to get data for more bi-aspectual verbs
 - other possible factors
 - object number, word-order, other grammatical forms (passive etc.)
 - offline testing
 - currently testing the online processing of bi-aspectual verbs
 - self-paced task

Soudní lékař identifikoval oběť vraždy, **dokud** policie nenašla její příbuzné. Soudní lékař identifikoval oběť vraždy, **protože** policie nenašla její příbuzné.

_____ oběť ____ oběť _____

_____ dokud _____ ____ ____________________

_____ policie ____ ___ ___

_____ ___ ___ nenašla ____ ___ ____ ____

_____ její _____ její

_____ ____ ____ příbuzné.