

## Proto-Indo-European: a language without Merge?

George Walkden (University of Konstanz)

The claim that parataxis precedes hypotaxis in language is often found in the traditional literature on language change (e.g. Delbrück 1900: 411; Small 1924: 125) and reiterated in works with a functionalist orientation (e.g. Jucker 1991: 203; Deutscher 2001: ch. 11; Dąbrowska 2015: 230). However, it has rarely been addressed from a generative perspective (cf. Roberts 2007: 174 for a brief but notable exception). Doing so is important, since a strong form of this claim is that early languages lacked embedding (Delbrück 1900: 411), which relates to the recent debate around Pirahã exceptionality and its implications for the architecture of grammar (Everett 2005; Nevins, Pesetsky & Rodrigues 2009 and subsequent work). Moreover, the evidence usually offered in support of the claim is flimsy at best.

In this talk I argue that the traditional claim must be broken down into a number of distinct subclaims, only one of which threatens a standard Minimalist conception of the human faculty of language. I show that that particular subclaim is false, as far as the historical evidence allows us to establish. I then present empirical evidence from large-scale diachronic corpus studies that bears on other subclaims, which – I argue – have important implications for comparative syntax nonetheless.

### References

- Dąbrowska, Ewa. 2015. Language in the mind and in the community. In Jocelyne Daems, Eline Zenner, Kris Heylen, Dirk Speelman & Hubert Cuyckens (eds.), *Change of paradigms – new paradoxes: applications of cognitive linguistics*, 221–236. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Delbrück, Berthold. 1900. *Vergleichende Syntax der indogermanischen Sprachen*. Vol. 3. Strasbourg: Karl J. Trübner.
- Deutscher, Guy. 2001. *Syntactic change in Akkadian: the evolution of sentential complementation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Everett, Daniel L. 2005. Cultural constraints on grammar and cognition in Pirahã: Another look at the design features of human language. *Current Anthropology* 46, 621–46.
- Jucker, Andreas H. 1991. Between hypotaxis and parataxis: clauses of reason in Ancrene Wisse. In Dieter Kastovsky (ed.), *Historical English syntax*, 203–219. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Nevins, Andrew, David Pesetsky & Cilene Rodrigues. 2009. Pirahã exceptionality: a reassessment. *Language* 85, 355–404.
- Roberts, Ian G. 2007. *Diachronic syntax*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Small, G. W. 1924. *The comparison of inequality*. Baltimore: University Press.