



## Mini-review

# Interrelation of kinetic and stereoscopic depth: behavior and physiology in vertebrates<sup>☆</sup>

Andreas Nieder

*Department of Brain and Cognitive Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Picower Center for Learning and Memory, Bldg E25, Room 236, Massachusetts Avenue, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*

Received 23 October 2002; accepted 23 October 2002

**Abstract**

The target article gathers compelling behavioral evidence that motion parallax provides depth information in a variety of animal species. A more general evaluation of kinetic depth cues subserving depth perception would call attention to recent studies in monkeys, demonstrating the interrelation of kinetic and stereoscopic depth cues both on a behavioral and physiological level. Furthermore, it is argued that binocularity in birds has a clear function in stereopsis.

© 2003 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

*Keywords:* Stereoscopic depth; Vertebrates; Kinetic depth

**1. Introduction**

Kral provides an interesting summary on the role of head movements in a variety of animal species. Especially informative is the section about head motion in insects, the author's area of expertise. As indicated by the title, observer-induced motion cues subserving depth perception are emphasized, and the dominant part of the article deals with motion parallax.

Motion parallax (translational motion), however, is only one kinetic depth cue that can be exploited when the animal (or its head) moves. A superordinate concept for depth perception from relative 2-D motion would be structure-from-motion (SFM), which refers to the reconstruction of an object's 3-D shape from the relative 2-D motion of its parts. It is a pity that

studies about non-human primates are not included in the review; the recent investigation of depth from kinetic cues both on a psychophysical and a neural level have been especially fruitful in monkeys. In the current article, therefore, some additional remarks about kinetic depth should be added.

Besides monocular kinetic cues, binocular stereoscopic cues are equally effective in depth perception. There is clear evidence that mammals and birds use horizontal disparity to compute 3-D information. However, while reading Kral's review, the reader gets the impression that the function of binocularity for depth perception in bird seems to be unclear. Some authors even deny that binocularity in birds is used to extract stereoscopic depth information and speculate, without any behavioral testing, that the "true" function of binocularity is related to optical flow field analysis (Martin and Katzir, 1999). Such speculations are hardly justified as behavioral, anatomical, and physiological studies provide convincing evidence indicating doubtlessly that one dominant

<sup>☆</sup> Open peer comment on Karl Kral: Behavioural-analytical studies of the role of head movements in depth perception in insects, birds and mammals.

*E-mail address:* [nieder@mit.edu](mailto:nieder@mit.edu) (A. Nieder).

53 function of binocularity (at least in diurnal and noc-  
54 turnal birds of prey) is stereoscopic depth vision.  
55 This article will summarize evidence to support this  
56 notion.

## 57 **2. Kinetic and stereoscopic depth** 58 **are interrelated**

59 The perception of surface structure from stereopsis  
60 and from kinetic depth exhibits similar psychophysi-  
61 cal characteristics and obeys common constraints (re-  
62 viewed in [Kham and Blake, 2000](#)). The recognition  
63 of 3-D shape from motion can be as compelling and  
64 as accurate as that created by stereopsis ([Rogers and](#)  
65 [Graham, 1982](#)), making it sometimes impossible to  
66 distinguish depth based on kinetic depth from stereo-  
67 scopic depth ([Nawrot and Blake, 1993](#)). In addition,  
68 both cues elicit depth capture, which refers to the in-  
69 triguing phenomenon that the 3-D shape/depth of sta-  
70 tionary objects is altered by virtue of its proximity to  
71 depth surfaces specified by stereopsis (stereo capture)  
72 or by structure-from-motion (kinetic depth capture;  
73 [Ramachandran and Cavanagh, 1985](#); [Kham and Blake,](#)  
74 [2000](#)). Psychophysical studies together with neuro-  
75 physiological evidence suggest that kinetic depth and  
76 stereoscopic depth may share similar neural mecha-  
77 nisms (reviewed in [Freeman, 1998](#)).

## 78 **3. Structure-from-motion—behavior in** 79 **vertebrates**

80 Behavioral studies demonstrate that owls and mon-  
81 keys exploit kinetic cues for depth/3-D vision. Van  
82 der Willigen and co-authors (2002) provided elaborate  
83 and convincing evidence that motion parallax in owls  
84 is used for depth perception. In this study with op-  
85 erant conditioned barn owls, motion parallax induced  
86 by the owl's own head movements was shown to pro-  
87 vide similar depth and structure information as stereo-  
88 scopic cues. Apart from this study, evidence for the  
89 use of motion parallax in walking or flying pigeons is  
90 speculative. Kral discussed an unpublished study by  
91 Troje and Kelly, which is definitely worth mention-  
92 ing, but it only provides theoretical reasoning why cer-  
93 tain movement patterns could provide depth informa-  
94 tion through motion parallax. It would be important to

show a direct impact of such information on the bird's  
perceptual ability.

In rhesus monkeys, [Cao and Schiller \(2002\)](#) re-  
cently examined depth perception through stereo-  
scopic and kinetic depth cues. Object-induced motion  
parallax (translational movements by object motion)  
rather than the more complex, but functionally sim-  
ilar observer-induced motion parallax (translational  
movements of the observer) was investigated. The  
monkeys exploited depth information conveyed by  
both motion parallax and stereopsis. Mirroring re-  
sults in humans, stereopsis was found to be slightly  
more effective for depth discrimination than motion  
parallax. [Siegel and Andersen \(1988\)](#), showed that  
monkeys can detect 3-D structure from motion in the  
same way as human subjects. Monkeys were trained  
to detect an 'illusory' rotating cylinder that appears  
when a certain dot-motion pattern was shown on a  
two-dimensional screen. This computer-generated  
dot-motion pattern was equivalent to the dot pattern  
generated by projecting points on a transparent rotat-  
ing cylinder onto a plane orthogonal to the monkeys'  
line of sight. Although the resulting dot patterns on  
the two-dimensional screen move in opposite direc-  
tions, dots moving in one direction appear to be in  
front of, or behind, those moving in the opposite di-  
rection. Monkeys were trained to detect the direction  
of the dots that appeared to them to be in front. By  
computer-controlled variation of the degree of corre-  
lation of dot motion (from unstructured to structured  
motion), the monkeys' detection of the 'illusory' ro-  
tating cylinder declined in a predictable way. The  
same result was obtained with three human observers.

## 4. Neural integration of motion and stereopsis in monkeys

Several electrophysiological investigations indi-  
cate that neurons in areas along the 'dorsal visual  
stream' of the mammalian cortex (like middle tem-  
poral area, MT, and medial superior temporal area,  
MST) process both kinetic and stereoscopic depth  
cues ([Bradley et al., 1998](#); [DeAngelis et al., 1998](#);  
[Sugihara et al., 2002](#); [Grunewald et al., 2002](#)). In an  
elegant study, [Bradley et al. \(1998\)](#) trained rhesus  
monkeys to view an 'illusory' rotating cylinder that  
was created by two-dimensional projections of a trans-

parent, revolving cylinder. These stimuli appear to be three-dimensional, but the surface order (front as opposed to back) as well as the rotation direction which is perceived tends to reverse spontaneously. These reversals occur because the stimulus is ambiguous. While the monkeys viewed such images, recordings were made from neurons in MT. Neurons in MT show a preferred motion direction to which they heavily discharge, while the opposite, not-preferred motion direction suppresses the neuron's activity. Interestingly, the neurons reflected the rotation direction of the 'illusory' cylinder currently perceived by the monkey, even though the physical dot motion was identical for both motion direction conditions. These reports suggest the involvement of MT in the processing of SFM.

Neurons in area MT are not only selective to motion, but also to stereoscopic depth (Bradley et al., 1995; DeAngelis et al., 1998). In the above mentioned study by Bradley et al. (1998), therefore, many randomly interleaved trials were added in which the dots of the revolving 'illusory' cylinder contained stereoscopic disparity information. In these cases the cylinders are not ambiguous, but the motion direction of the cylinder's front is clearly defined by stereopsis. These trials were designed to control that the monkeys performed reliable on the trials with the bi-stable kinetic depth stimuli. In addition, MT neurons were recorded to cylinder rotations defined by stereopsis. Many neurons responded strongly when the stereoscopically defined cylinder's front moved in the neurons' preferred motion direction. These data demonstrate that monkey MT responses directly reflect the perceived depth of moving surfaces, whether the surface is defined by kinetic depth or stereoscopic depth.

## 5. The function of avian binocular vision in depth perception

Stereoscopic depth perception has been demonstrated in a diurnal raptor, the falcon (Fox et al., 1977), and in a nocturnal raptor, the barn owl (van der Willigen et al., 1998). Behaviorally trained barn owls are able to see depth in computer-generated random-dot stereograms and possess global stereopsis comparable to that of humans (van der Willigen et al., 1998, 2002; Nieder and Wagner, 2001). The visual Wulst, the telencephalic termination zone of the thalamofu-

gal pathway in nocturnal (owls) and diurnal raptors (e.g. falcons), receives binocular information (Karten et al., 1973; Pettigrew, 1978; Bagnoli and Francesconi, 1984). In addition, Wulst neurons integrate binocular information (Pettigrew and Konishi, 1976), a prerequisite for stereopsis. A neural correlate for stereoscopic depth perception has been found in the visual forebrain of behaving owls. A large proportion of neurons in the visual Wulst discharged as a function of horizontal disparity in random-dot stereograms (Nieder and Wagner, 2000). The response characteristics of such disparity-sensitive neurons mirror precisely those found in the visual cortex of cats and non-human primates (Nieder and Wagner, 2000, 2001). Besides monkeys, the barn owl is the only model organism where the neural basis of stereopsis has been investigated in behaving animals. Stereopsis is doubtlessly one dominant functions of binocularity in birds.

## 6. Beyond depth: stereopsis and form perception

It is important to point out that depth perception is only one function of stereopsis. Another dominant function is form perception. Binocular horizontal disparity in random-dot stereograms also gives rise to sharp illusory contours at the depth-induced edges. Thus, stereopsis may not only be used for depth perception, but also for form perception in the absence of luminance-contrast contours. It is probably not a coincidence that barn owls also perceive illusory contour (generated by abutting gratings) and that Wulst neurons encode such illusory contours (Nieder and Wagner, 1999). Recent electrophysiological studies in monkeys revealed that neurons in early visual cortical areas (V2, and to a lesser extend V1) encode contours defined by stereoscopic depth (von der Heydt et al., 2000; Heider et al., 2002). Thus, binocularity and the resulting exploitation of stereoscopic depth is likely to support cue-independent perception of object borders in addition to depth perception.

## References

- Bagnoli, P., Francesconi, W., 1984. Mapping of functional activity in the falcon visual system with [<sup>14</sup>C] 2-deoxyglucose. *Exp. Brain Res.* 53, 217–222.

- 228 Bradley, D.C., Chang, G.C., Andersen, R.A., 1998. Encoding of  
229 three-dimensional structure-from-motion by primate area MT  
230 neurons. *Nature* 392, 714–717.
- 231 Bradley, D.C., Qian, N., Andersen, R.A., 1995. Integration of  
232 motion and stereopsis in middle temporal cortical area of  
233 macaques. *Nature* 373, 609–611.
- 234 Cao, A., Schiller, P., 2002. Behavioral assessment of motion  
235 parallax and stereopsis as depth cues in rhesus monkeys. *Vis.*  
236 *Res.* 42, 1953–1961.
- 237 DeAngelis, G.C., Cumming, B.G., Newsome, W.T., 1998. Cortical  
238 area MT and the perception of stereoscopic depth. *Nature* 394,  
239 677–680.
- 240 Fox, R., Lehmkuhle, S.W., Bush, R.C., 1977. Stereopsis in the  
241 falcon. *Science* 197, 79–81.
- 242 Freeman, R., 1998. The neural integration of depth and motion.  
243 *Curr. Biol.* 8, R761–R764.
- 244 Grunewald, A., Bradley, D.C., Andersen, R.A., 2002. Neural  
245 correlates of structure-from-motion perception in macaque V1  
246 and MT. *J. Neurosci.* 22, 6195–6207.
- 247 Heider, B., Spillmann, L., Peterhans, E., 2002. Stereoscopic  
248 illusory contours—cortical neuron responses and human  
249 perception. *J. Cogn. Neurosci.* 14, 1018–1029.
- 250 von der Heydt, R., Zhou, H., Friedman, H.S., 2000. Representation  
251 of stereoscopic edges in monkey visual cortex. *Vis. Res.* 40,  
252 1955–1967.
- 253 Karten, H.J., Hodos, W., Nauta, W.J.H., Revzin, A.M., 1973.  
254 Neural connections of the “visual Wulst” of the avian  
255 telencephalon. Experimental studies in the pigeon (*Columba*  
256 *livia*) and owl (*Speotyto cunicularia*). *J. Comp. Neurol.* 150,  
257 253–278.
- 258 Kham, K., Blake, R., 2000. Depth capture by kinetic depth and  
259 by stereopsis. *Perception* 29, 211–220.
- 260 Martin, G.R., Katzir, G., 1999. Visual fields in sort-toed  
261 eagles, *Circaetus gallicus* (Accipitridae), and the function of  
262 binocularity in birds. *Brain Behav. Evol.* 53, 55–66.
- Nawrot, M., Blake, R., 1993. On the perceptual identity of dynamic  
stereopsis and kinetic depth. *Vis. Res.* 33, 1561–1571.
- Nieder, A., Wagner, H., 1999. Perception and neuronal coding of  
subjective contours in the owl. *Nat. Neurosci.* 2, 660–663.
- Nieder, A., Wagner, H., 2000. Horizontal-disparity tuning of  
neurons in the visual forebrain of the behaving barn owl. *J.*  
*Neurophysiol.* 83, 2967–2979.
- Nieder, A., Wagner, H., 2001. Hierarchical processing of horizontal  
disparity information in the visual forebrain of behaving owls.  
*J. Neurosci.* 21, 4514–4522.
- Pettigrew, J.D., 1978. Comparison of the retinotopic organization  
of the visual Wulst in nocturnal and diurnal raptors, with a  
note on the evolution of frontal vision. In: Cool, S.J., Smith,  
E.L. (Eds.), *Frontiers of Visual Science*. Springer, New York,  
NY, pp. 328–335.
- Pettigrew, J.D., Konishi, M., 1976. Neurons selective for  
orientation and binocular disparity in the visual Wulst of the  
barn owl (*Tyto alba*). *Science* 193, 675–678.
- Ramachandran, V.S., Cavanagh, P., 1985. Subjective contours  
capture stereopsis. *Nature* 317, 527–530.
- Rogers, B.J., Graham, M.E., 1982. Similarities between motion  
parallax and stereopsis in human depth perception. *Vis. Res.*  
22, 261–270.
- Siegel, R.M., Andersen, R.A., 1988. Perception of three-  
dimensional structure from motion in monkey and man. *Nature*  
331, 259–261.
- Sugihara, H., Murakami, I., Shenoy, K.V., Andersen, R.A.,  
Komatsu, H., 2002. Response of MSTd neurons to simulated  
3-D orientation of rotating planes. *J. Neurophysiol.* 87, 273–  
285.
- van der Willigen, R.F., Frost, B.J., Wagner, H., 2002. Depth  
generalization from stereo to motion parallax in the owl. *J.*  
*Comp. Physiol. A* 187, 997–1007.
- van der Willigen, R.F., Frost, B.J., Wagner, H., 1998. Stereoscopic  
depth perception in the owl. *Neuroreport* 9, 1233–1237.