

AI Tools for Literature Search: An Overview

AI Tools for Literature Search: An Overview © 2024 by Miriam Lahrsow and Lelde Baumgarten is licensed under [CC BY 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).



Background and Disclaimer

Since the field of “Literature Search with AI” is very dynamic, the information presented here may be outdated rather quickly.

The present overview was **last revised in November 2025**. Our site on [AI for Academic Research](#) on the website of Tübingen University Library is being updated constantly. There, you will always find the newest versions of our documents.

The evaluation of the tools is based exclusively on the personal assessment of the AI team which is part of the “Information Department” of Tübingen University Library.

Members of the AI team who conducted the evaluation: Randi Knorr, Miriam Lahrsow, Lorenz Leins, Heike Mattheis, Lelde Baumgarten, Ulrike Röhrig, Julia Rübenstahl

Subject-specific counsel during the evaluation was provided by Diana Mader and Sascha Hartung.

Please feel free to contact information@ub.uni-tuebingen.de in case you have any questions.

Contents

Background and Disclaimer	1
Finders	3
Introduction	3
Overview: Underlying Database(s) – Privacy – Cost	3
Individual Tools.....	6
AbsClust	6
Ai2 Paper Finder	7
Ai2 Scholar QA	8
Consensus.....	9
Elicit	10
Evidence Hunt.....	11
Falcon.....	12
Keenious	13
ORKGAsk	14
R Discovery	15
ScholarInbox	16
ScienceOS	17
Scinapse	18
SciSpace	19
Semantic Scholar	20
Undermind.....	21
Connectors.....	22
Introduction	22
Overview: Underlying Database(s) – Privacy – Cost	22
Individual Tools.....	24
Connected Papers.....	24
Inciteful	25
Litmaps	26
Local Citation Network	27
Open Knowledge Maps.....	28
Research Rabbit	29
Scite	30

Finders

Introduction

The AI-based tools that we call “Finders” are designed for academic literature search. Unlike chatbots like, for example, ChatGPT, Gemini, Claude, or MS Copilot, these exclusively source their information from academic databases.

At the moment, these tools are most suited for finding, analyzing and summarizing **English Open Access papers** in the field of the **Life Sciences or Natural Sciences**.

Currently, these tools still run into problems when trying to find, analyze or summarize **non-English monographs** that are **behind a paywall** and/or that belong to **the Humanities, Social Sciences, Divinity or Law**.

Overview: Underlying Database(s) – Privacy – Cost

Tool	Database(s)	Comment on the Database(s)	Privacy	Requires login?	Comment on Privacy	Cost	Comment on the Cost
AbsClust	Semantic Scholar	Can also be connected to users’ own databases	No focus on privacy	No	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account
Ai2 Paper Finder	“8M+ full text papers and 108M+ abstracts”; source)	The full texts are mainly drawn from arXiv, which has a focus on the natural sciences.	No focus on privacy	No	Privacy policy	Free	
Ai2 Scholar QA	“8M+ full text papers and 108M+ abstracts”; source)	The full texts are mainly drawn from arXiv, which has a focus on the natural sciences.	No focus on privacy	No	Privacy policy	Free	
Consensus	Semantic Scholar		No focus on privacy	Yes	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account
Elicit	Semantic Scholar		No focus on privacy	Yes	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account
EvidenceHunt	PubMed	Only for medicine	No focus on privacy	Search: no; Chat: yes	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account

Tool	Database(s)	Comment on the Database(s)	Privacy	Requires login?	Comment on Privacy	Cost	Comment on the Cost
Falcon	Unclear (Semantic Scholar?)	For further information, see here and here .	No focus on privacy	Yes	Privacy Policy	Free	
Keenious	OpenAlex	In theory more suited for finding monographs than other tools, but it still mostly finds journal articles.	No focus on privacy	No	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account
ORKGask	CORE	Only finds Open Access publications Indexes many university repositories	Privacy-friendly	No	Developed by TIB Hannover; focus on data protection (source)	Free	
R Discovery	PubMed , Crossref , Unpaywall , OpenAlex ; various publishers	Due to its large database, this tool is better at also finding monographs.	No focus on privacy	No	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account
ScholarInbox	arXiv, bioRxiv, medRxiv, ChemRxiv		No focus on privacy	Yes	Privacy Policy	Free	
ScienceOS	Semantic Scholar		No focus on privacy	Yes	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account
Scinapse	Microsoft Academic Graph , OpenAlex , Semantic Scholar , Springer Nature SciGraph , proprietary crawler		No focus on privacy	No	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Practically useless with a free account
SciSpace	„ OpenAlex , Semantic Scholar , Google Scholar and other trusted repositories” (Source)	Better suited for finding (Open Access) monographs than most other tools. Users can choose from a variety of databases.	No focus on privacy	Yes	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Practically useless with a free account

Tool	Database(s)	Comment on the Database(s)	Privacy	Requires login?	Comment on Privacy	Cost	Comment on the Cost
Semantic Scholar	See data sources here	Focus on journal articles	No focus on privacy	No	Privacy policy	Free	
Undermind	Semantic Scholar		No focus on privacy	Yes	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account

Individual Tools

AbsClust

<https://absclust.com/>

Description	During the literature search, the results are visualized in thematic clusters. Users can also search their own data sets.
Database	Semantic Scholar; can also be connected to one's own data sets (Source)
Suited for	Mainly for the natural sciences and medicine
Limitations	See above; also: few filters
Filters	Year, citations
Cost	Free and premium versions (more information)
Other features	Analyzer tool for pdfs uploaded by users; statistics on search results
Comments	Some features (e.g. „Find by Similarity“) are not yet available.

Description	The multi-step search process is designed to imitate the human search process. “[Y]ou can watch as the system breaks down your query into relevant components, searches for papers, follows citations, evaluates for relevance, runs follow-up queries based on the results, and then presents not only the papers, but also short summaries of why the paper is relevant to your specific query” (source).
Database	“8M+ full text papers and 108M+ abstracts” – the full texts mainly come from arXiv (source). Inclusion criteria are based on the Semantic Scholar Open Research Corpus .
Suited for	“most useful for researchers in fields with most papers available on arXiv” (source)
Limitations	Rather small database which mainly focuses on the natural sciences.
Filters	Relevance; Criteria/Sub-Topics; Year; Journal; Author; Ranking the results by various criteria
Cost	Free
Other features	When compiling the results, the tool documents its “thought process”.
Comments	The tool is affiliated with Semantic Scholar.

Description	Designed for “literature searches that require insights from multiple relevant documents, and synthesize those insights into a comprehensive report” (source).
Database	“8M+ full text papers and 108M+ abstracts” – the full texts mainly come from arXiv (source). Inclusion criteria are based on the Semantic Scholar Open Research Corpus .
Suited for	“most useful for researchers in fields with most papers available on arXiv” (source)
Limitations	Rather small database which mainly focuses on the natural sciences.
Filters	None
Cost	Free
Other features	While the tool is generating its answer, users can see its “thought process”.
Comments	The tool is open source – the code can be found here . The tool is affiliated with Semantic Scholar.

Consensus

<https://consensus.app/>

Description	Search engine that uses a fine-tuned language model to extract “ <u>key takeaways</u> ” from papers. The takeaways are tailored to the individual user request and influence the ranking of the papers.
Database	Semantic Scholar
Suited for	All academic inquiries, also works relatively well for non-STEM subjects.
Limitations	general limitations of Semantic Scholar; some special features more suited for natural sci. and medicine.
Filters	year, Open Access, minimum citations, methods used in paper, journal rank, subject area, country
Cost	Free (most functions); Premium (unlimited GPT4 summaries, Consensus Meters and Study Snapshots, also: Bookmarks and Lists).
Other features	<u>GPT4 summaries</u> of papers, <u>Consensus Meters</u> for yes/no questions, Study Snapshots (population, sample size, methods, outcomes), <u>Consensus Copilot</u> , export in CSV or RIS, can be integrated with <u>Zotero</u> .
Comments	Better avoid keywords but rather type in full questions. <u>Tips for searching</u> .

Elicit

<https://elicit.com>

Description	Search tool that uses the abstract of a paper (or the full paper, if OA) for ranking and summarising results.
Database	Semantic Scholar; want to add more sources soon; more info here .
Suited for	Best works for papers that have a detailed abstract or for Open Access papers; also works relatively well for non-STEM subjects.
Limitations	Finding closed-access papers without an abstract; general limitations of Semantic Scholar; languages other than English.
Filters	pdf available, year, study type, keywords (not) in the abstracts, different ranking methods.
Cost	Free (unlimited searches, limited versions of premium features); Plus (various premium features; export; high-accuracy mode); Pro (extract data from 1,200 papers/year; unlimited high-accuracy). More info here .
Other features	Upload pdfs and analyze them. "List of Concepts" (helpful for searching methods, datasets and the same concept across different subjects). "Deep Research"; "Search Citation Trails"; browser extension for connecting Elicit to users' licensed databases and full-texts
Comments	Better avoid keywords but rather type in full questions. FAQ here .

Description	AI research tool for medicine
Database	PubMed
Suited for	medicine and related subjects
Limitations	not suited for other subjects
Filters	study type; discipline; only last week's publications; also: search boxes for PICO analysis (Population, Intervention, Comparison, and Outcome)
Cost	Free (search); Premium (more filters; more publications; better ranking mechanism; etc.)
Other features	Summaries and answers based on all results
Comments	The added value compared to PubMed itself is rather limited at the moment.

Falcon

<https://platform.futurehouse.org/>

Description	Generates detailed answers to users' queries, documents its reasoning (How does it rephrase the query for searching? Which papers are included in/excluded from the final answer?) and lists which publication is the source for which part of its answer.
Database	Unclear
Suited for	getting a first basic overview on a topic.
Limitations	Usually lists only very few publications and uses even fewer as sources in its answer.
Filters	None (but the tool highlights journals that it identifies as „domain leading“, „peer reviewed“ or of the „highest quality“).
Cost	Free
Other features	FutureHouse also offers „Crow“ for concise search, „Owl“ for identifying research gaps, and „Phoenix“ for chemistry research.
Comments	Not suited for comprehensive literature search (yet?).

Keenious

<https://keenious.com/>

Description	Upload your current document or a pdf from your field to see papers that are related to the topic. (But also works with (longer) typed-in questions.) More information here .
Database	OpenAlex (for its sources, see here).
Suited for	Researchers who already have started writing their paper. Works best for papers in English.
Limitations	Finding papers without abstracts (since the keenious search relies on titles and abstracts). [Information on the website is a bit vague overall, so it's hard to evaluate.]
Filters	Year, citation count, Open Access.
Cost	Free; Plus (top 1000 results, unlimited document length, cross-language search, bulk export). More info .
Other features	Can be linked to Word or GoogleDoc file. Highlight text in your file to only search for this specific concept/topic.
Comments	Works best if you already have a longer document → The more input the better.

Description	“ORKG Ask is a scholarly search and exploration system powered by Vector Search, Large Language Models and Knowledge Graphs” (Source)
Database	CORE → only Open Access publications; many university repositories
Suited for	All subject areas, but works better for STEM fields.
Limitations	May not find paywalled publications
Filters	Year; language; impact; citation count; title; abstract; publisher; author; topic
Cost	Free
Other features	Presents results in a table to give users an overview on methods, results, key insights, etc.
Comments	Better avoid keywords but rather type in full questions. For the FAQ, see here . ORKAsk is Open Source and privacy-friendly.

Description	Search tool/chatbot with a large database and unusual additional features
Database	“PubMed, PubMed Central, CrossRef, Unpaywall, Open Alex and top academic research publications, including Wiley, Elsevier, Springer Nature, IOP, SAGE, Taylor & Francis, NEJM, Emerald Publishing, BMJ, Karger, Underline.io and more”. “250M+ research papers, including 40M+ open access articles, across 32,000 journals as well as 2M+ preprints and 7.5M+ patents” (Source)
Suited for	All subjects – due to its large database, it also finds monographs, for example.
Limitations	Still often yields better results for the natural sciences and medicine, but other fields are also covered adequately.
Filters	Year, type of publication, journal ranking, subject field, number of citations
Cost	Free version, but after three searches you are asked to sign up for the tool. Premium version (audio papers, translation of papers, synchronising with a reference management programme, sharing lists of results) (Source)
Other features	Publications are translated into 30+ languages; audio versions of papers; connecting with Zotero & Mendeley; tool tries to identify and exclude predatory publishers from its database.
Comments	Users can search both for bibliographic data and with a complete question.

Description	The tool automatically recommends thematically relevant new publications.
Database	„daily indexes all of arXiv, bioRxiv, medRxiv and ChemRxiv including physics, math, computer science, biology, chemistry, health sciences, finance, statistics, electrical engineering and economic. Additionally, we index many open access proceedings in computer science” (Source)
Suited for	Life and Natural Sciences
Limitations	Due to its database, the tool is less suited for the Humanities, Social Sciences and Law
Filters	Users can train the recommendation feature by rating publications; various options for customizing the recommendation feature
Cost	Free
Other features	„Semantic Search“ („you may add parts of a paper, to find similar papers, or an unfinished related work section to show references that you may have missed”), „Scholar Maps” (thematic overview over fields of research), planning tool for selected Computer Science conferences
Comments	More information here , here and here .

Description	Offers „AI Science Chat“ and „AI PDF Chat“ . The Science Chat generates a summaries based on the publications it finds. The PDF Chat summarises uploaded pdfs.
Database	Semantic Scholar (Source)
Suited for	Overviews on topics and citation networks (→ also Connector Tool); more suited for the natural sciences and medicine.
Limitations	General limitations of Semantic Scholar; has problems with finding publications that don't have an identifier
Filters	-
Cost	Free (Science Chats), Premium (more questions per chat; analyze pdfs; analyze citations) Source
Other features	„Network“ feature: show citations; save pdfs found by the tool; export from Mendeley, Zotero and Endnote; AI Actions – workflow for prompts that help analyze pdfs (translate, summarize, create tables and figures → Analyzer)
Comments	Pdfs uploaded by a user are only visible in this user's account and are not used for training the tool.

Scinapse

<https://www.scinapse.io/>

Description	Identifies research trends; find subject experts; compares the research output of different countries, institutions, and individuals
Database	Microsoft Academic Graph (till 2021), Pubmed, OpenAlex, Semantic Scholar, Springer Nature SciGraph, Pluto Lab's Crawler (Source)
Suited for	Focus on STEM
Limitations	Other subjects aren't covered very well.
Filters	Many (e.g. h-Index, Country, Affiliation, Subject Field, Number of Citations)
Cost	Free (keyword search for papers), Premium (all other features) (Source)
Other features	See above
Comments	It's rather a benchmarking and trend analysis tool than a research tool. Planned: data on patents, research financing, companies (Source).

Description	All-in-one platform (searching, analyzing, publishing papers, AI detection, plagiarism check, host journals).
Database	„OpenAlex, Semantic Scholar, Google Scholar and other trusted repositories” (Source). Users can choose the database (e.g. PubMed, arXiv, or Google Patents).
Suited for	All academic inquiries; also works relatively well for the humanities and similar subjects; also works well for OA books.
Limitations	Free version has very limited features.
Filters	The tool doesn’t offer filters. Users can try using prompts to filter results.
Cost	Free; Premium (unlimited searches, paraphrases & citation generations; export). More information .
Other features	See above: all-in-one platform.
Comments	Better avoid keywords but rather type in full questions.

Semantic Scholar

<https://www.semanticscholar.org/>

Description	Helps researchers quickly find and analyze papers.
Database	More than 220 million papers (see slide at the beginning)
Suited for	Papers in English; all fields of research but especially STEM.
Limitations	No focus on books, datasets, or patents; also no focus on languages other than English.
Filters	Field of study, year, pdf available, author, journal/conference, different rankings.
Cost	Free
Other features	Personal library, alerts, AI-generated summaries (currently only for computer science and biomedicine).
Comments	Very helpful FAQ page ; much more honest about its limitations than other AI tools.

Undermind

<https://www.undermind.ai>

Description	Uses a complex, four-stage search algorithm: 1. find potentially relevant papers, 2. relevance classification, 3. based on these results: adjust search and search again, 4. calculate when (almost) all relevant papers have been found and the search can conclude. More information here .
Database	Semantic Scholar
Suited for	all subjects (despite the reliance on Semantic Scholar, it generally yielded good results when we tried non-STEM queries)
Limitations	general limitations of Semantic Scholar
Filters	Results of the fast few years, minimum citations → few filters at the moment
Cost	Free (limited number of searches and results); Premium (unlimited Deep Searches; expand search results, export in RIS and BIB; early access to new features)
Other features	Summaries of results; narrowing down the results according to their topic; expanding the results
Comments	You can type in quite detailed questions (also: what do I <i>*not*</i> want to find).

Connectors

Introduction

The AI search tools that we call “Connectors” create interactive visual networks based on bibliographic and thematic relationships between publications. To use these tools, you feed in a “seed paper” – this seed paper is a relevant publication on your research topic that you have already found. We recommend entering a [Persistent Identifier](#) of your seed paper (e.g. its DOI or PubMedID) into the tool. In many cases, however, the tools also allow you to search for other metadata belonging to your seed paper (e.g. its author or title). The Connector will then show you publications that are in some way related to the seed paper (citing, cited by, thematically similar).

Overview: Underlying Database(s) – Privacy – Cost

Tool	Database(s)	Comment on the Database(s)	Privacy	Requires login?	Comment on privacy	Cost	Comment
Connected Papers	Semantic Scholar		No focus on privacy	No	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account
Inciteful	OpenAlex , Semantic Scholar , Crossref , Open Citations	(Here , however, other sources are listed.)	No focus on privacy	No	No further information is offered on the website	Free	
LitMaps	Crossref Semantic Scholar OpenAlex		No focus on privacy	No, but most features are only available to registered users.	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account
Local Citation Network	OpenAlex , Semantic Scholar , Crossref , Open Citations	It's possible to manually switch between databases.	Focus on privacy	No	More information when you click on the ? in the top right corner.	Free	
Open Knowledge Maps	PubMed BASE OpenAIRE		Focus on privacy	No	Privacy policy	Free	
ResearchRabbit	Crossref Semantic Scholar OpenAlex		No focus on privacy	Yes	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Still useful with a free account

Tool	Database(s)	Comment on the Database(s)	Privacy	Requires login?	Comment on privacy	Cost	Comment
Scite	Various publishers , PubMed , Unpaywall , ArXiv	Tool has an indexing agreement with many publishers.	No focus on privacy	For most features: yes.	Privacy policy	Free and premium versions	Practically useless with a free account

Individual Tools

Connected Papers

<https://www.connectedpapers.com/>

Description	„Connected Papers is a [...] visual tool to help researchers and applied scientists find and explore papers relevant to their field of work” (Source). Search by keyword or provide a 'seed paper' by entering the DOI, and the tool will provide a visual map of other related papers (by topic or reference).
Database	Semantic Scholar
Suited for	Literature search
Limitations	Less suited for finding older literature that doesn't have an identifier (e.g. a DOI) and for literature that doesn't have a lot of metadata.
Filters	PDF available, Open access, year, derivative works, prior works.
Cost	Free (5 graphs per month, all features included). Academic (Unlimited graphs, all features included). More info .
Other features	View the results as a graph or as a list. Citation count available.
Comments	Search by keywords, paper, title, DOI or another identifier. Better results when searching with articles (seed papers that have a DOI) than with keywords.

Description	Includes two tools: “Paper Discovery” (more information), with which you can find publications that are related to a seed paper, and “Literature Connector” (more information), with which you can see how two publications are connected to one another (e.g. not by having a direct bibliographic relation but by citing the same paper)
Database	OpenAlex, Semantic Scholar, Crossref, Open Citations (source) (here , however, other databases are named)
Suited for	Literature search; detecting „hidden“ connections between publications
Limitations	Less suited for finding older literature that doesn't have an identifier (e.g. a DOI) and for literature that doesn't have a lot of metadata.
Filters	Keyword in title, distance to seed paper, year (more information)
Cost	Free
Other features	The search results and information about the network and topic are also displayed in various lists: Similar Papers, Most Important Papers, Review Papers, Recent Papers by the Top 100 Authors, The Most Important Recent Papers, Top Authors, Upcoming Authors, Institutions, Top Journals, Similar Journals.
Comments	It's possible to search for title, DOI, PubMed ID and arXiv ID.

Litmaps

<https://www.litmaps.com/>

Description	Litmaps recommends papers based on an initial starting article. Search for your topic, select an article, and Litmaps will find relevant articles based on thematic or bibliographic connections.
Database	260+ million papers from Crossref, Semantic Scholar, and OpenAlex. (Source)
Suited for	Literature search, literature management, staying up-to-date on the latest research, collaborating.
Limitations	Less suited for finding older literature that doesn't have an identifier (e.g. a DOI) and for literature that doesn't have a lot of metadata.
Filters	Year, author, keywords, data range, timeline.
Cost	Free: Search up to 20 inputs, 100 articles, 1 Litmap. Pro: (configurable weekly literature alerts, basic + advanced unlimited inputs, unlimited articles, unlimited Litmaps). Offers also a cost plan for teams with additional collaboration feature. More info .
Other features	Organize articles into collections. Find more related articles with "Discover search". Save searches, export and share findings, sign up for email alerts to be notified when new articles on your topic are published. Citation count available.
Comments	Search by keyword, author, DOI, Pubmed ID or arXiv ID. Better results when searching with articles (seed papers that have a DOI) than with keywords.

Local Citation Network
<https://localcitationnetwork.github.io/>

Description	“This web app aims to help scientists with their literature review [...] [...] Academic papers cite one another, thus creating a citation network (= graph)”. (Source)
Database	OpenAlex; Semantic Scholar; OpenCitations; Crossref – it’s possible to manually switch between databases
Suited for	Literature search; showing collaborations between authors
Limitations	Less suited for finding older literature that doesn't have an identifier (e.g. a DOI) and for literature that doesn't have a lot of metadata.
Filters	Title, abstract, ID, author, year, journal; ranking the results depending on their number of cited or citing publications; “Citation Network” and “Co-Authorship Network”
Cost	Free
Other features	Possibility to automatically search imported .ris or .bib files (e.g. from Zotero) for DOIs and add them to the tool.
Comments	Search for DOI or Pubmed ID; Open Source (Github); focus on data privacy.

Description	“[K]nowledge maps provide an instant overview of a topic by showing the main areas at a glance, and documents related to each area” (Source).
Database	PubMed (life sciences) or BASE (all disciplines), OpenAIRE.
Suited for	Literature search.
Limitations	Less suited for finding older literature that doesn't have an identifier (e.g. a DOI) and for literature that doesn't have a lot of metadata.
Filters	Open Access, relevance, author, title, Year, document type, metadata quality.
Cost	Free.
Other features	Provides full text PDF for Open access articles. Export with BibTeX.
Comments	Uses the top 100 documents related to a topic to create a map. Find FAQs here . Find training materials here .

Description	ResearchRabbit is a citation-based literature mapping tool.
Database	SemanticScholar, Crossref, OpenAlex (Source)
Suited for	Literature search, staying up to date on the latest research
Limitations	Less suited for finding older literature that doesn't have an identifier (e.g. a DOI) and for literature that doesn't have a lot of metadata.
Filters	Author (discover author networks), recency, year, timeline.
Cost	Free: create one project, „basic search settings“, show networks; Premium: create several projects, „advances search settings“
Other features	Export papers with BibTex, RIS, CSV. Citation count.
Comments	Search papers by title, DOI, PMID, or keywords, or upload a file (BibTeX, RIS).

Scite

<https://scite.ai/>

Description	Indicates how many publications cited a publication or an individual statement positively, negatively or neutrally.
Database	Publishers (e.g. Wiley, Karger, Thieme, Sage, BMJ, CUP, Frontiers); PubMed; Unpaywall; ArXiv (source)
Suited for	Research on the reception of individual claims and arguments.
Limitations	More focussed on medicine and the natural sciences. Full texts that aren't Open Access and that scite doesn't have an indexing agreement with aren't analyzed (source)
Filters	Type of citation (supporting, contrasting), metadata (has corrections, was retracted, preprint, etc.) and other filters like year, journal title, etc.; Bool'ean operators
Cost	Almost all features are premium features. (more information)
Other features	Zotero plugin; alerts for new publications; alerts when users' own publications are cited; dashboard for statistics.
Comments	Also allows searches like "I need sources that contradict Claim X".