Daniel Volkmann

"Current Topics in Financial Accounting and Financial Analysis - Tutorial" (WiWi_24_25_114) - WiSe 24/25 - Wirtschaftswissenschaft

Number of responses = 20

Response rate = 40%

Survey Results

Legend

Question text



Description of quality symbol

- Mean value is below the quality guideline.
- Mean is within the range of tolerance for the quality guideline.
- Mean value is within the quality guideline.

1. Academic Program

1.1) Which course/ which courses of studies do you pursue? Multiple answers possible

n=20

B.Sc. Economics & Business Administration	45%
B.Sc. International Business Administration	10%
B.Sc. International Economics	0%
B.Ed. Wirtschaftswissenschaft	0%
M.Sc. Accounting & Finance	30%
M.Sc. Data Science in Business & Economics	0%
M.Sc. Economics	0%
M.Sc. Economics & Finance	0%
M.Sc. European Economics	0%
M.Sc. European Management	0%
M.Sc. General Management	15%
M.Sc. International Business	0%
M.Sc. International Economics	0%
M.Sc. Management & Economics	0%
M.Sc. Quantitative Data Science Methods - Psychometrics, Econometrics and Machine Learning	0%
M.Ed. Wirtschaftswissenschaft	0%
BWL/VWL, Minor	0%
Other teaching degree (see next question)	0%
Other program of study (see next question)	0%

1.3) Which semester are you in?



2. Course

2.1) The learning objectives have been clearly defined.



2.2) It is clear what students are required to do.



2.3) The course has increased my interest in the subject matter.



2.4) The course is well structured.



2.5) The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate.



3. Lecturer

3.1) The lecturer seems to be professionally competent.



3.2) The material is presented in a comprehensible manner.



3.3) The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content.



3.4) The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views.



3.5) The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ ethnicity/appearance/etc.



4. Overall impression

4.1) I have increased my subject knowledge.

strongly agree — 45% 45% 10% 0% 0% — strongly disagree



n=20
av.=1,7
md=2
dev.=0,7

4.2) I give this course the following overall grade:

very good — 35% 50% 15% 0% 0% — insufficent



n=20
av.=1,8
md=2
dev.=0,7

4.3) I give the lecturer the following grade:

very good — 45% 50% 5% 0% 0% — insufficient



n=20
av.=1,6
md=2
dev.=0,6

Thank you for your participation! You can now submit the survey.

Profile

Subunit: Wirtschaftswissenschaft
Name of the instructor: Daniel Volkmann
Name of the course: (Name of the survey) Current Topics in Financial Accounting and Financial Analysis - Tutorial

Values used in the profile line: Mean

2. Course



2.1)	The learning objectives have been clearly defined.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=1,7	md=1,5	dev.=0,8
2.2)	It is clear what students are required to do.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=1,8	md=2	dev.=0,9
2.3)	The course has increased my interest in the subject matter.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=1,9	md=2	dev.=1
2.4)	The course is well structured.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=1,6	md=1	dev.=0,8
2.5)	The general set-up of the teaching (e.g. room facilities and availability of learning materials) is appropriate.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=1,3	md=1	dev.=0,4

3. Lecturer



3.1)	The lecturer seems to be professionally competent.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=1,3	md=1	dev.=0,5
3.2)	The material is presented in a comprehensible manner.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=1,7	md=2	dev.=0,8
3.3)	The lecturer encourages the critical assessment of the content.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=2,1	md=2	dev.=1,1
3.4)	The lecturer is respectful of students' ideas and views.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=17	av.=1,4	md=1	dev.=0,7
3.5)	The lecturer is equally respectful of participants regardless of their sex/nationality/ ethnicity/appearance/etc.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=1,2	md=1	dev.=0,4

4. Overall impression



4.1)	I have increased my subject knowledge.	strongly agree	strongly disagree	n=20	av.=1,7	md=2	dev.=0,7
4.2)	I give this course the following overall grade:	very good	insufficent	n=20	av.=1,8	md=2	dev.=0,7
4.3)	I give the lecturer the following grade:	very good	insufficient	n=20	av.=1,6	md=2	dev.=0,6